SOME REMARKS CONCERNING THE TURDAŞ AND TĂUĂLAŞ GROUPS

Florin Drasovean

Wellknown in the archaeological literature, even from the second half of the XIX century, the neolithic settlement from Turdaş was definitively admitted in the scientific field when both the results of the excavations made by Marton Roska in 1910 (ROSKA 1928; 1942, 287–290) and of the Sofia Torma collection (ROSKA 1941) were issued.

On the basis of these materials, Milutin Garašanin, in his monography on Vinča in order to name the early stage of this civilazation (GARAŠANIN 1951; 1973; 1979).

This terminology was taken over by D. Berciu, N. Vlassa and other archaeologists. Perceiving the difference between the materials from Turdaş and those of Vinča area, I. Paul uses the term of Turdaş for whole evolution of the eponym settlement and of Trannsylvania Vinča culture (PAUL 1965, 298; 1969, 43; 1981, fig. 18, 21 and 231, 233; 1992, 129 and tabel 2; 1995, 68).

Gh. Lazarovici distinguishes two phases in the evolution of the Vinča communities in Transsylvania: one of them called Vinča, that covers the phase A and, partially, the phase B, and a late stage, in which there are materials typical for Turdaş culture (LAZAROVICI 1997a, 223–277; 1997b,: 1979, 123, 132, 135; 1981, 181–185; 1987, 34–40).

Z. Kalmar and myself, reinterpreting the discoveries from Turdaş, consider that the beginning of living here can't be earlier than Vinča C1 phase (KALMAR 1991, 5; DRAŞOVEAN 1993, 166) as well as all the other Turdaş settlements along the Mureş Valley (DRAŞOVEAN 1996, 93–100) On the basis of these arguments we consider the use of terms like Turdaş, Vinča-Turdaş and Turdaş-Vinča – when defining the early stage of Vinča culture – as being inappropriate (DRAŞOVEAN 1996, 93–94). We can talk about a Turdaş group only at the Vinča C1 chronological horizon.

Approximately at 20 km, westward of Turdaş, there is a settlement at Deva-Tăuălaş that was excavated in 1994 and 1947 by H. Dumitrescu and M. Macrea. The materials discovered here thanks to an important presence of the painted pottery represented a special art if compared to the materials from Turdaş culture area and they integrated in the new created Tăuălaş Group. This group was parallelized with Vinča B. (DUMITRESCU, 1996; 1984; LAZAROVICI-DUMITRESCU, 1986). At a more attentive analysis, all the materials from Tăuălaş from the inferior stratum can be synchronized towards the end of Vinča B2 stag and the beginning of Vinča C1 stage for that the supe-

rior stratum, which abounds in painted materials to be put in parallels with Vinča C1 (LAZAROVICI-DUMITRESCU, 1986, 15, 18, 19, 26).

From what we have already told, the two settlements from Turdaş and Tăuălaş, the first level, are partially contemporary. Also, the vessels processing technology, the shapes and the decorations are similar. Thus, the clay is mixed up with fine micaceous sand, then it is burnt to red-orange and to brick colour. In the case of the fine pottery, the vessels usualy have a smooth surface, only a lesser quantity being burnished (ROSKA, 1928, 9; DUMITRESCU 1984, 11; 1986, 22–24; LAZAROVICI 1991, 115–120).

The shapes are common. Thus, the quadrilater vessel, decorated with dotted-incized bands – characteristic for Turdaş group – Is also frequently met among the materials from Tăuălaş. (DUMITRESCU 1984, pl. V/2, 7, 9, 11, 12; 1986, fig. 1/1, 6, 8, 11, 13; 3/3, 7, 10). This situation can also be noticed in the case of the high pedestaled bowls (DUMITRESCU 1984, 13–14; 1986, fig. 4/10), of carinated and tronconic vessels (DUMITRESCU 1984, 14; 1986, 17).

The decorations of the pottery from the two settlements are similar. Thus, dotted-incised bands (DUMITRESCU 1984, pl. III; IV; V; VIII-XVII; XIX-XXI) the meandering and zig-zag patterns (DUMITRESCU 1984, pl. VII; XII/1, 7, 8, 11-14; XXV; 1986, fig. 3/1, 6, 15; 7/1-6) are a characteristic of the Turdaş group (ROSKA 1928, fig. 27/3-6, 11; 28/6-8, 10; 1941, pl. LXXXI/6, 15; LXXXII/5; XCII/6, 9, 14; XCIII/4-7, 10; CVI/6, 7; CVII/14, 17, 18; CVIII/9; LXXXII/13; LXXXV/1; CIV/2, 5; CIX/6, 10; CX/12, 15). This matterns have been noticed even for three decades ago, but the presence of the painted pottery with a black colour and, seldomer, a red one in the settlement of Tăuălaş determined the researchers to consider the discoveries from Tăuălaş as being a part of a special cultural group which was named Tăuălaş group.

After a more attentive analysis, we can also find that, among the materials of Sofia Torma's collection from Turdaş, there are some painted sherd (ROSKA 1941, pl., in a similar manner to that of those from Tăuălaş (DUMITRESCU, 1986, pl. I/1, 3-8; II/1, 2, 5, 6, 14, 15). Moreover, a series of settlements from the same region (Zlaşti, DRAŞOVEAN-MARIS 1997), Pianul de Jos (PAUL 1969, 50 and pl. 1) belonging to Turdaş group, contains all these elements. In conclusion, all those arguments show that between the materials from Turdaş and those of Tăuălaş there are not essentially cultural and chronological differences that could determine us to integrate them in different cultural groups. Consecvently, we should abandon one of these names, and the researches from Turdaş will have to define more precisely the cultural content of the Turdaş group.

1995, december

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

DRAȘOVEAN, FL.		The Petrești culture in Banat. In: AnB III, pp. 139-170; Cultura Vinča târzie (fuza C) în Banat. BHAB I, Timișoara.
DUMITRAȘCU, S.,	1969,	Două așezări ale culturii Turdaș. În: Lucrări șțiințifice, A, Oradea.
DUMITRESCU, H.,	1984,	Câteva probleme legate de cultura Petrești, In: SCIV, 17, 3, pp. 422–433; Cercetările arheologice de la Tăuălaș-Deva (I). In: Acta MN XXI, pp. 3–44; Cercetările arheologice de la Tăuălaș-Deva (II). In: Acta MN XXII–XXIII, pp. 3–14.
GARASANIN, M.,	1973,	Hronologija vinèanske grupe, Ljubljana; Praistorija na tlu S.R. Srbije, Beograd; Vinčanska grupa. In: PJZ, II, sarajevo.
LAZAROVICI, Gh.,	1977b, 1979, 1981, 1987,	Sfârșitul culturii Vinča-Turdaș în Câmpia Transilvaniei. In: StComCaransebeș, II, pp. 211–227; Periodizarea culturii Vinča în România. In: Banatica, IV, pp. 19–44; Neoliticul Banatului. In: BMN, II, Cluj-Napoca; Die Periodiesierung der Vinča-Kultur in Rumänien. In: PZ 56, 2, pp. 169–196; "Şocul" Vinča C in Transilvania. In: Acta MP, XI, pp. 33–55; Aspectul Tăuălaș, In: Cultura Vinča în România, Timișoara, pp.
LAZAROVICI GH DI	JMITRI 1986	ESCU H., Legăturile cronologice, culturale și locul aspectului Tăuălaș în cadrul culturii Vinča-Turdaș și a neoliticului transilvan. In: Acta MN XXII–XXIII, pp. 15–26.
PAUL I.,	1981, 1992,	Unele probleme ale neoliticului în legătură cu cultura Petrești. In: RevMuz, II, 4, pp. 39–49; Așezarea neo-eneolitică de la Pianul de Jos. In: StComSibiu, 14, pp. 23–88; Der Gegenwärtige Forschungsstand zur Petrești-Kultur. In: PZ, 56, 2, pp. 197–234; Cultura Petrești, Editura Museion, București; Vorgeschichtliche untersuchungen in Siebenbürgen, Alba Iulia.
ROSKA M.,	1928 1941 1942	Stațiunea neolitică de la Turdaș. In: PMJH, 3-4, 24-25, pp. 18-25; Die Sammlung Zsofia von Torma, Cluj; Erdely regeszeti repertoriuma, I, Cluj.

