# FORMS OF HABITAT BELONGING TO COTOFENI CULTURE IN THE HILL AND MOUNTAIN AREA OF OLTENIA

Ion Tuţulescu<sup>1</sup> iontutulescu@yahoo.com

**Cuvinte-cheie:** locuire, cuptor, așezare, suprafață, civilizație, eneolitic, perioada de tranziție.

**Keywords:** *dwelling, oven, settlement, area, civilization, eneolithic, period of transition.* 

Sumar: Perioada de tranziție spre epoca bronzului, respectiv etapa finală este reprezentată în zona deluroasă și montană a Olteniei de purtătorii civilizației Coțofeni. Comunitățile culturii menționate mai sus ocupă mai toate formele de locuire, stațiuni arheologice fiind atestate pe insule, terase, vârfuri de deal etc. Referitor la construcții, predomină locuințele de suprafață, alături de care cercetările semnalează apariția bordeielor și a colibelor-locuințe cu structură ușoară. O mare parte a locuințelor dețin instalații de încălzit, alcătuite din vetre și cuptoare; dar și amenajări interioare, reprezentate prin podine din lut.

Abstract: The transition period to the Bronze Age, respectively the final stage, is represented, in the hill and mountain area of Oltenia, by the bearers of Cotofeni civilization. The communities of the above culture occupy all forms of habitat, archaeological sites being certified in islands, terraces, hilltops etc. Concerning constructions, there are prevailing the surface houses, with whom the researches indicate the occurrence of the huts-which are lightweight housing. Most of the houses have heating installations, consisting of hearths and ovens; but also interior improvements, represented by clay floorings.

**Geographical landscape.** Men's life is closely related to the land where he lives. The arhitecture of this "land", its look and wealth determine the basic occupations of the inhabitants. In Prehistory, especially the influence of the land on men was very big. The more the men evolves technologically, the less the influence of the environment is, but it will never vanish at all. This is the reason why, in order to study ancient people's life we must know the places where they lived. The mountain and hill area of Oltenia include three big landforms: mountains, hills, plateau. The mountain region forms a part from the huge structural unit of the southern Carpathian laying from the Perisani mountains and Magura Codle to the Danube Bazias and Iron Gates<sup>2</sup>. Here the Southern Carpathians reach imposing heights: Parang = 2518 m and Mandra = 2324 m. For Oltenia, the mountain area is formed by the heaps: Parang, Vulcan and Cerna's mountains.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>**Ion Tuţulescu**, arheolog, Muzeul Judeţean "Aurelian Sacerdoţeanu" Vâlcea, doctor în istorie, Institutul de Arheologie "Vasile Pârvan", București.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cucu 1961, p. 10.

The Getic Sub-Carpathians (southern) stretch in the teritory framed by the river Olt and Motru's valley, to the Mehedinti plateau. The characteristic elements of the Sub-Carpathic area, that somehow differentiats it from the other Sub-Carpathains, are the narrowing of the Sub-Carpathic region and the less emphasizzed folds then those from the East of Dambovita, where these frequentely reach to diaprism<sup>3</sup>.

In the North-West of Oltenia and the Weast of the Getic Sub-Carpathians lays the Mehedinti plateau, that even though it occupisses a small area (about 760 km² or 0,33% of the country's territory), it has a typical aspect of plateau, of erosion, individualized in a Carpathic unit. This landform was created by the leveling which cut in old landforms (crystalline schists, limestones, sandstones), but also in miocene sedimentary rocks and it was mainly made durring the pleistocene, at the end of which the resulted surface at the border of the mountain (mainly by abrasion), began to be raised and to be submitted to fragmentation<sup>4</sup>.

In the South of the Sub-Carphatians lays the Getic plateau (13900 km² or 5,9% of the territory of Romania); it represented at the beginning of the Quaternary a vast piedmont plain which, after was raised more in the North and in the East of the river Olt, where it reached altitudes of 500-700 m; in rest, the values maintain between 300-400 m decreasing even more at the contact with Romanian plain. The working area is delimited hydrographically by the river Olt (East) and the Danube (West), the territory between these two important hydrographic networks is crossed by the river Jiu. The location of the country in the 45° parallel, leads to the registration of a little difference between the time of days and nights from North to South, as well as the existence of a reduced difference between the annual temperatures from the North border to the Southern border<sup>5</sup>. The climate<sup>6</sup> is temperate continental, with Mediterranean influences, the arrangement in steps of the relief (plateau, hill, mountain) leading to a natural setting of the climate elements.

## Brief History of studying the habitat in the Cotofeni culture period

The great area of spreading of the event in question occupies the West of Muntenia, Oltenia, North-West of Bulgaria, North-East of Serbia, the inside of the Carpathian arch (except the low areas) and the South of Maramureş<sup>7</sup>. In the whole spreading area have been identified about 1500 sites<sup>8</sup>, which 1000 only in Romania<sup>9</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ghinea 2002, p. 1187.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ielenicz 1999, p. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Roşu 1980, p. 73.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> We are talking about the hill and mountain area of Oltenia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Lazarovici, Lazarovici 2007, p. 311.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Popa 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Ciută 2009, p. 95.

Over time, the studying of the habitat belonging to this culture is in connection with the name of some researchers like: Petre Roman<sup>10</sup>, Horia Ciugudean<sup>11</sup>, Gheorghe Lazarovici<sup>12</sup>, Doru Liciu<sup>13</sup>, Stefan Alexandrov<sup>14</sup>, Valeriu Lazăr<sup>15</sup>, Gheorghe Petre-Govora<sup>16</sup> etc.

#### The settlements

- 1. The location of the settlements
- 1.a. Of terrace: Brăneț<sup>17</sup>, Roşiile-*Biserică*<sup>18</sup>, Rogova<sup>19</sup>, Copăcelu<sup>20</sup>. Crivina<sup>21</sup>, Piatra Olt<sup>22</sup> etc.
  - 1.b. On islands: Ostrovul Corbului<sup>23</sup> and Ostrovul Simian<sup>24</sup>.
  - 1.c. On natural channels: Castrele Traiane<sup>25</sup> and Plenita<sup>26</sup>.
  - 1.d. Of heights:
- 1.d.1. Headlands: Cetătuia<sup>27</sup>, Govora-Dealul Săpunarului<sup>28</sup>, Oprisor<sup>29</sup>, Mateești, Cosota<sup>30</sup>, Bârsești<sup>31</sup> etc.
- 1.d.2. Bots of a hill: Buneşti<sup>32</sup>, Ocnele Mari-*Zdup*<sup>33</sup>, Calopăr<sup>34</sup>, Căzăneşti-*Cărămidărie*<sup>35</sup>, Costeşti-Măgura Costeştilor<sup>36</sup>, Cozia Veche<sup>37</sup>, Găneasa<sup>38</sup>, Gătăjesti<sup>39</sup>, Ocnele Mici-Coasta Ungurească<sup>40</sup>, Vârt<sup>41</sup> etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Roman 1976: *Idem* 1977.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Ciugudean 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Lazarovici, Lazarovici 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Liciu 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Alexandrov 1997.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Lazăr 1975; *Idem* 1976; *Idem* 1977; *Idem* 1978; *Idem* 1980; *Idem* 1981-1982.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Petre Govora 1967; *Idem* 1982; *Idem* 1995.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Roman 1976, p. 14; Ulanici 1975b, p. 243; *Idem* 1975a, p. 45.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Tuţulescu 2010, p. 32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Liciu 2002, p. 12; Crăciunescu 2002, p. 12; Crăciunescu *et alii* 2001, *punct 170*; Crăciunescu et alii 2002, punct. 180; Crăciunescu, Manea 2003, punct 160; Crăciunescu, Manea 2004, punct 157; Crăciunescu, Manea 2005, punct 185; Crăciunescu et alli 2006, punct 154; Crăciunescu, Manea 2007, punct 151; Manea 2003, p. 48. <sup>20</sup> Tuţulescu 2008, p. 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Liciu 2002, p. 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Lazăr 1997, p. 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Roman 1976, p. 14; Liciu 2002, p. 12; Lazarovici, Lazarovici 2007, p. 312.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Roman 1976, p. 14; Liciu 2002, p. 12; Lazarovici, Lazarovici 2007, p. 312.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Roman 1976, p. 14; Lazarovici, Lazarovici 2007, p. 312.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Roman 1976, p. 14; Lazarovici, Lazarovici 2007, p. 312.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Petre Govora 1982, p. 14; *Idem* 1995, p. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Petre Govora 1967, p. 645; Berciu 1966, p. 135, Roman 1976, p. 82; Petre Govora 1982, p. 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>Liciu 2002, p. 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Berciu *et alii* 1984, p. 177; *Idem* 1990, p. 135.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Petre Govora 1967, p. 645-647; *Idem* 1982, p. 23; *Idem* 1995, p. 19; *Idem* 1997, p. 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Idem 1967, p. 652; Idem 1982, p. 23; Idem 1995, p. 19; Idem 1997, p. 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> *Idem* 1982, p. 9-10; *Idem* 1995, p. 18-19.

- 1.e. Dwellings in caves: *Peştera Neolitică*-Costesti<sup>42</sup>, *Peştera Sf. Grigore Decapolitul*-Costești<sup>43</sup>, *Peştera Muierilor* and *Peştera Pârcălabu*-Baia de Fier<sup>44</sup>, Runcu<sup>45</sup>.
  - 1.f. Settlements placed on tells: Sălcuța<sup>46</sup>.
  - 2. According to the way of organizing
- 2.a. Unfortified settlements: Buneşti<sup>47</sup>, Brăneț<sup>48</sup>, Calopăr<sup>49</sup>, Căzăneşti<sup>50</sup>, Copăcelu<sup>51</sup>, Modoia<sup>52</sup>, Rogova<sup>53</sup> etc. The majority of this kind of settlements is placed in areas in which the nature played a protective role (settlements situated on headlands, terrace spurs etc.), the defensive sistem having no more use.
- 2.b. Fortified settlements: Castrele Traiane<sup>54</sup>, Pleniţa<sup>55</sup>, Şiacu<sup>56</sup>. For the settlements at Castrele Romane and Pleniţa we have information regarding the use of the defensive sistem consisting in ditches and mounds of defense. Regarding the fortification from Şiacu (Gorj county), the ambiguous informations does not reveal us the defense sistem they used. It is important to mention the fact that the fortification of the above mentioned settlements is realised during the phase III of Coţofeni Culture, probably due to an external danger; the same phenomenon is also observed in the Glina civilisation (phase II-III contemporary to the phase III Coţofeni).
- 2.c. The tell settlements: Ostrovul Corbului<sup>57</sup> and Ocnele Mari-*Zdup*<sup>58</sup>. The high levels of deposit belonging to the concerned manifestation entitle us to fit the sites in the above mentioned category. At Ostrovul Corbului, in the sector A,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Zirra, Pop 1995, p. 13.

<sup>35</sup> Petre Govora 1967, p. 649-650; *Idem* 1982, p. 23; *Idem* 1995, p. 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Vulpe 1967, p. 190.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Petre Govora 1995, p. 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Information from Cristinel Fântâneanu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Petre Govora 1995, p. 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Ibidem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Calotoiu *et alii* 1987, p. 25-27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Procopie 1982, p. 56-64; Bondoc 2002, p. 26.

<sup>43</sup> Bondoc 2002, p. 26.

<sup>44</sup> Berciu 1939, p. 76; Comşa 1968; Roman 1976, p. 79. Plopşor *et alii* 1957, p. 13-27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Smeu 1988, p. 5-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Berciu 1961, p. 338-339.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Petre Govora 1967, p. 652; Roman 1976, p. 79; Petre Govora 1982, p. 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Ulanici 1975a, p. 45; *Idem* 1975 b, p. 243; *Idem* 1976, p. 27; Roman 1976, p. 80.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Zirra, Pop 1995, p. 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup>Roman 1976, p. 81; Petre Govora 1982, p. 23; *Idem* 1995, p. 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Tuţulescu 2008, p. 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Petre Govora 1995, p. 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Roman 1976, p. 81; Crăciunescu 2002, p. 19. Liciu 2002, p. 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Roman 1976, p. 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Ibidem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> \*\*\* 2001, p. 229; Ciută 2009, p. 97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Roman, Oprițescu 2008, p. 161. The authors do not speak about a tell settlement here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Petre Govora 1995, p. 18-19.

- S. XV, the layer of culture has a thickness between 1-1,20 m<sup>59</sup>, the level totally belongs to the phase III. In Vâlcea County, at Ocnele Mari-Zdup, the level of culture begings at a depth of 0.60 m and it ends at -3.60 m<sup>60</sup>. It is interesting that all the phases of evolution are represented, the layer of culture indicating a long term settlement. The problem of the tell settlements in Cotofeni culture area it is brieffly presented by Cristian Popa; except from those pesented above, the author identifies some in Transilvania<sup>61</sup>.
- 2.d. Settlements with an obvious economic character of exploiting of some resources. In the saline platform around Ocnele Mari it has been certificated a number of five settlements in which salt exploiting had the major role. We mention that in the points from Ocnele Mari-Coasta Ungurească, Ocnele Mari-Cosota, Ocnele Mari- Zdup, Govora-Dealul Săpunarului and Căzănesti the salt was extracted using briquetting vessels (truncated glasses), and from here it was realized a commerce with neighboring areas<sup>62</sup>.
  - 3. The time of using the settlements
- 3.a. Settlements used for a long time, reported by the sequence of levels, are present at Ostrovul Corbului, Ocnele Mari-Cosota<sup>63</sup> and Ocnele Mari-Zdup.
- 3.b. Settlements used for an average period of time, mentioned by a single level of habitation, but rich in archaeological material are reported at Castrele Traiane, Rogova, Cetățuia, Vârț, Govora-Dealul Săpunarului, Roșiile, Oprișor, Mateesti, Căzănești, Craiova etc. The majority of the discoveries from the area fit in this type.
- 3.c. Seasonal settlements (used for a short period of time) generally placed in the mountain area or caves area or in places where establishment was linked to a certain economic practice. In this category fit the discoveries from Copăcelu<sup>64</sup>, Pestera Neolitică, Pestera Sf. Grigore Decapolitul-Costesti<sup>65</sup> etc.

#### 4. Dimensions

Insuficient researches in the hill and mountain area of Oltenia present a pretty concise image regarding the dimensions of Cotofeni settlements. At Ostrovul Corbului, the deposits along the Danube's shore are distinguishable on about 500 linear meters, and an appreciation of the complexes spreading is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Roman, Opritescu 2008, p. 161.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Petre Govora 1995, p. 18-19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Popa 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup>Schuster, Tutulescu 2010. Comunication held at the symposioum at Prodavia Bulgaria "Salt and Gold: The Role of Salt in Prehistoric Europe" (30 septembrie-4 octombrie); Schuster et alii 2010, p. 263; Schuster, Tutulescu 2011, p. 141-142.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> We mentioned that the settlement from here was distroyed by Geto Dacian civilisation, but from researching the ceramic material we see a long evolution from the first phase up to the third phase.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> The discovery from here can be assigned to a fishing camp settled here. We underline that the theory is just a suposition, because the little researched area does not show us a clear situation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Inhabited points for a short period of time, in the comunities succession.

estimated at 38000 m<sup>266</sup>. For this kind of settlement as well we find out that the dwellings are oriented in most of the cases parallel to the Danube (East-West), positioned in the most prominent zone and along the Danube<sup>67</sup>.

At Ocniţa-*Cosota* the archeological researches from Buridava Dacică and especially from the *Acropola* led to the discovery of an important lot of material, the area for this point being of about 3000 m<sup>268</sup>.

At Brăneţ, the Cotofeni settlement is located on a headland with the dimensions  $250 \times 60 \text{ m}^{69}$ , the living area being of about  $15000 \text{ m}^2$ .

From the personal researches made at Cetățuia, where on the headland on which the discoveries from here have been made, the living area is about 900 m<sup>2</sup>. Regarding the site from Rogova (Mehedinți county), the author suggests the idea that the Coţofeni settlement occupied a squared perimeter with the side of 40 m<sup>70</sup>, the living area being therefore 1600 m<sup>2</sup>.

By all the facts presented above, we assert that the Cotofeni settlements are classified in big dimensions settlements and small dimensions settlements.

5. The way of dividing in different zones the settlements.

Having a mixed economy, mostly pastoral, we consider that these could have been divided in zones for sheltering the cattle and zones for living.

#### Constructions

1. Huts: Vârț-Cioaca lui Meilă, Rogova, Brăneț and Ostovul Corbului.

1.a. Form:

1.a.1. Ovoid: Vârţ, Ostrovul Corbului. The archeological researches from Vârţ led to the discovery of a fifth type  $hut^{71}$ , ovoid form, diameter between 3-4  $m^{72}$ .

For Ostrovul Corbului the situation is different; some pits can be classified as hut type dwellings. In the South part of S. II, S. VIII from sector A, has been researched an oval pit noted Gr. 5 with the dimensions of 3,40 x 2 m and depth of 0,90-1 m. That pit is dished from East to West, the orientation being ESE-WNW, the entrance from WNW is like a step. Near the step, in the left side, has been discovered a stake pit with the diameter of 35 cm<sup>73</sup>. Petre Roman, the coordinator of the diggings from here, considers that Gr. 5 can be classified as a hut type dwelling.

In S. XII, *Sector A*, level 4, has been researched a pit with big dimensions (ovoid form as in the picture), which pierced Sălcuta level having in the middle

67 Roman, Oprițescu 2008, p. 162.

<sup>73</sup> Roman, Opritescu 2008, p. 127.

<sup>66</sup> Roman 1996, p. 30-31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Information from Mariana Iosifaru.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Ulanici 1975a, p. 45.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Crăciunescu 2002, p. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Calotoiu *et alii* 1987, p. 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Gherghe 1982, p. 40; Lazarovici, Lazarovici 2007, p. 315.

the hole for the stake for the  $roof^{74}$ . Is remarkable the fact that *Sector B*, S. the XXI-st is reported a construction in its filling has been discovered an appreciable quantity of ceramic, bones, stones, sea shells<sup>75</sup>, elements that can frame the complex of the category mentioned above.

1.a.2.Rectangular: Brăneţ, Ostrovul Corbului. In the inferior level (research 1972) has been discovered a rectangular hut with rounded edges, dimensions 2,70 x 2,30 m, maximum depth being of 0,70 m<sup>76</sup>. The dwelling has a stake pit in the middle for supporting the roof. At Ostrovul Corbului in S. XV, Sector A has been researched a rectangular pit with the length of 2,80 m and depth of  $0.80 \, \text{m}^{77}$ .

In the years 2001-2002, at Rogova "La Cazărmi", it has been researched a dwelling with the dimensions  $2,75 \times 1,45 \text{ m}$  and depth  $0,80 \text{ m}^{78}$ . Two years later, it has been reported the presence of two complexes of same type, without being presented any details about the form or the depth of the constructions<sup>79</sup>.

- 2. Surface dwellings
- 2.a Proper dwellings. Certificate in settlements like: Ostrovul Corbului<sup>80</sup>, Calopăr<sup>81</sup>, Rogova<sup>82</sup>, Şiacu<sup>83</sup>, Cetăţuia<sup>84</sup> and Brăneţ.
- 2.a.1 Rectangular dwellings. We find them at Rogova, Ostrovul Corbului and Brăneț.

In the point *La Cazărmi* from Rogova many rectangular dwellings have been researched. Though, in 2001, two dwellings were unveiled, the first one partially researched a year before, dimensions 3,45 x 2,80 m with the edges slightly rounded<sup>85</sup>, and the second one with dimensions 4,40 x 2,00 m<sup>86</sup>. The coordinator of the research launches the hypothesis that the first dwelling had an interior pit that used for gathering the excess humidity from the level of the floor<sup>87</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 139.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 167.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Ulanici 1975a, p. 45.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Roman, Oprițescu 2008, p. 161.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Crăciunescu 2002, p. 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Crăciunescu, Manea 2005, *punct 185*.

<sup>80</sup> Roman, Oprițescu 2008, p. 123-168; Roman 1996, p. 13-31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> Zirra, Pop 1995, p. 15-16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup>Crăciunescu 2002, p. 12; Crăciunescu et alii 2001, punct 170; Crăciunescu et alii 2002, punct. 180; Crăciunescu, Manea 2003, punct 160; Crăciunescu, Manea 2004, punct 157; Crăciunescu, Manea 2005, punct 185; Crăciunescu et alii 2006, punct 154; Crăciunescu, Manea 2007, punct 151; Manea 2003, p. 48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> Calotoiu *et alii* 1987, p. 28.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Petre Govora's site notebook.

<sup>85</sup> Crăciunescu et alii 2001, punct 170.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> Crăciunescu 2002, p. 20; Crăciunescu et alii 2002, punct 180.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> Crăciunescu 2002, p. 20.

At Ostrovul Corbului in *Sector A*, S. XII at level four, it is recalled a dwelling with dimensions  $4,00 \times 3,00 \text{ m}^{88}$ , and in S. XII-1 two rectangular dwellings with dimensions  $6,50 \times 2,70 \text{ m}^{89}$ , respectively  $3,25 \times 2,40 \text{ m}^{90}$ . In S. I level two, a 3,00 cm thick platform has been discovered, with dimensions 5,00 m North South and 3,25 m East West, having stakes for supporting the walls inside and also outside  $9^{1}$ .

- 2.a.2. L shaped dwellings. In *Sector A*. S. XII, from Ostrovul Corbului dwelling noted as L.1., had the following dimensions: South side = 15 m, East side = 5 m and North side= 9 m. In the North East, many stake holes seem the suggest according to the discoverer the existence of a veranda or an annex of 2 x 2  $\text{m}^{92}$ . The walls of the dwelling had interior and exterior stakes, the thickness being between 0,14-0,30 m.
- 2.a.3. Oval dwellings. The researches made with the occasion of building a water basin on hill Cetățuia from Râmnicu Vâlcea led to the discovery of a Coţofeni dwelling with the length of  $4,50 \text{ m}^{93}$ .
  - 2.a.3 Dwellings with an unspecified form.

At Brăneţ, in the years 1973-1975 the archeological researches led tot the discovery of two surface dwellings noted with L.C. and L.D.<sup>94</sup>, L.C., whose contour has not been established had 10 cm thick walls; L.D. situated at 4 m North West from the first<sup>95</sup>, of which only 1/5 of the surface has been researched, this not being preserved because of the later destructions. Both dwellings have interior hearths, their improvement being directly on the ground, without floor. The researches from 1972 led to the discovery of a surface dwelling (noted probably L.B), with small dimensions, in the second level of inhabitation. It could not be specified the form or the existence of an interior improvement<sup>96</sup>.

At Bâzdâna-Calopăr, in Dolj county, has been signaled at dwelling with a form that could not be established, because of the landslides from the North area of the sit<sup>97</sup>.

At Şiacu, settlement have been partially discovered two surface dwellings, weakly preserved their accentuation is made after the parts of burned adobe and the ceramic fragments<sup>98</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> Roman, Opritescu 2008, p. 138.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 142.

 $<sup>^{90}</sup>$ *Ibidem*, 2008, p. 149; There is not any information about the stratigraphical classification of the two complexes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> *Ibidem*, 2008, p. 152.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> *Ibidem*, 2008, p. 136.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> Information from Petre Govora's site notebook.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> Ulanici 1976, p. 37.

<sup>95</sup> Both dwellings belong to the second level.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> Ulanici 1975a, p. 47.
 <sup>97</sup> Zirra, Pop 1995, p. 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> Calotoiu *et alli* 1987, p. 28.

2.b. Huts. The walls are made of wattles covered with clay, of small dimensions, mentioned in the settlements from Ostrovul Simian, Vârt<sup>99</sup> and Copăcelu<sup>100</sup>.

At Ostrovul Simian and Vârt, the huts were referred after the form of the adobe collected around fire hearth, their form being round or ellipsoidal. Regarding that from Copăcelu, the small researched area does not show us a clear image which could suggest an eventual form. According to the opinion of the archeologist Horia Ciugudean, the hut is found in all the phases of culture evolution<sup>101</sup>.

3. Improvements in the dwellings. The investigations made in the area of Cotofeni culture from our study reveal the existence of dwellings with floors and dwellings placed directly on the ground.

The dwellings discovered during the researches at Ostrovul Corbului have floors, hearth furnaces and pits.

The floorings discovered at Ostrovul Corbului can be classified according to the colour of the clay as follows:

- Floorings with blue clay (sector A,S., I, level 3 with restorations of a vellow clay<sup>102</sup>, from a dwelling with straight corners;
- Floorings with yellow clay (level 6, sector A, S. I, at a depth of -1,90-1,70, on a length of 4 m a yellow clay flooring with a thickness of 10 cm has been researched, in S. XII, level 2, etc);
  - Floorings with greenish clay (sector A, S. X).

According to the thickness of the floorings we see that these are between 3 cm<sup>103</sup> (S. I-c. level 2), 4-5 cm<sup>104</sup> (L.I from sector A.S.XII) and 10 cm (level 6, sector A. S. I), being restored for many times (level 4, Sector A. S. I we can see the existence of some floorings that have been restored for three times <sup>105</sup>).

We can see the traces of the floorings in the following sections from Ostrovul Corbului, from Sector A: S. XXVI, S.I-c, S.XV, S.XVI<sup>106</sup>.

At Bâzdâna, in Calopăr, Dolj county, the flooring of the dwelling was made from vellow clay, very good trodden, kept under the hearth, under debris and mainly around the hearth 107.

The research from Cetătuia, Râmnicu Vâlcea town, made by Gheorghe Petre Govora, reveal the existence of a clay flooring, mixed with sand and rarely with pebbles, omitting the colour of the above mentioned clay<sup>108</sup>.

<sup>100</sup> Tutulescu 2008, p. 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> Berciu 1939, p. 79.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> Ciugudean 2000, p. 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> Roman, Oprițescu 2008, p. 152.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 152.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 136.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 155.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 125-168.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> Zirra, Pop 1995, p. 16-17; We do not know any information regarding the thickness of this settlement.

## 4. The way of building

The huts are different from the surface dwellings on the depth made in the ground, according to Horia Ciugudean's opinion they are considered single-family residences <sup>109</sup>.

For building the huts there were used stakes and wattle on which the clay was added. Important information arrive from Brăneţ where the dwelling noted L.C. had the thickness of the stakes and wattle between 2-3 cm on which it was added the clay so the thickness of the wall became  $10 \, \mathrm{cm}^{110}$ .

The information offered by L.I, Sector A. S. XII, from Ostrovul Corbului are essential, the edges of the walls kept in the digging were high up to 10-14 cm, the thickness is between 14-30 cm. For supporting the walls, the dwelling had interior stakes as well as exterior. The exterior ones had sharp tops, the interior ones did not. The building technique was from wattle with clay, the roof was made from clay<sup>111</sup>.

- V. Other ensembles: fire installations (fire hearths, ovens), pits
- 1. Hearths

1.a. Interior. They can be found at Vârț<sup>112</sup>, Ostrovul Corbului<sup>113</sup>, Copăcelu<sup>114</sup>, Brăneț<sup>115</sup>, Ostrovul Siminic<sup>116</sup> and Bâzdâna<sup>117</sup>. The information regarding to the place of setting these installations in the dwelling would have been essential. Although, at Ostrovul Corbului according to the plan of the big dwelling L.I, we can see the location of a hearth on the side of the wall and the second in the middle of the structure. After the location of the 2 hearths discovered at Brăneț, the author of the researches mentions that these were situated in higher area.

1.b. Exterior. Ostrovul Corbului<sup>118</sup>. According to the plans we observe the appearance of the above mentioned type. We do not know if the installation was for one or more dwellings.

### 1.c. Form:

1.c.1 Round: Brăneț<sup>119</sup> (L.D, diameter of 1,20 m and thickness of 0,11 m), Bâzdâna<sup>120</sup> (diameter of 1,30 m including the garden), Vârț<sup>121</sup> (diameter 1,40 m and thickness 4,5 cm).

```
<sup>108</sup> Site notebook.
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> Ciugudean 2000, p. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup> Ulanici 1976, p. 37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> Roman, Opritescu 2008, p. 137.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> Gherghe 1982, p. 40; Lazarovici, Lazarovici 2007, p. 314.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> Roman, Opritescu 2008, p. 125-168.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> Tutulescu 2008, p. 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>115</sup> Ulanici 1976, p. 37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> Berciu 1939, p. 79.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> Zirra, Pop 1995, p. 15-16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> Roman, Opritescu 2008, p. 125-168.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> Ulanici 1976, p. 41

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> Zirra, Pop 1995, p. 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>121</sup> Gherghe 1982, p. 40; Calotoiu *et alii* 1987, p. 25; Lazarovici, Lazarovici 2007, p. 315.

- 1.c.2 Rectangular: Ostrovul Corbului- Sector A. S. X, diameter 100 x 80 cm<sup>122</sup>, Sector A. S. I, level 6, rectangular including the garden<sup>123</sup>, Sector B. S. XXII<sup>124</sup>.
- 1.c.3 Oval: Ostrovul Corbului- Sector A.S. XVII, level 3 diameter 1,20 m $^{125}$ , Sector A.S. I level 1, diameter 1,30 x 0,83 m $^{126}$ .
  - 1.d. According to the type:
  - 1.d.1 Simple: Brăneț<sup>127</sup>, Ostrovul Corbului, Şiacu<sup>128</sup>.
  - 1.d.2. With garden: Calopăr-Bâzdâna<sup>129</sup> and Ostrovul Corbului<sup>130</sup>.
- 1.e. According to the use. Although it is though that this type of installation had a double purpose (warming and cooking), the archeological researches from Ostrovul Corbului led to the discovery of two hearths in a dwelling. The author of the researches considers that the two hearths (Sector A.S. XXVI, -2,40 m), had different purposes: one for warming in the other for cooking<sup>131</sup>.
- 1.f. Way of building. The majority of the installations are raised on a layer of ceramic fragments (disposed on a row or on many rows) over which it is added the plaster. In S. XVII from Sector A from Ostrovul Corbului, level 2 in a dwelling has been researched a hearth that had in its composition a layer of ceramic fragments and bottoms of vessels that were placed head down, disposed on many rows, in a rectangular bed<sup>132</sup>. The role of the ceramic fragments and bottoms of vessel in the composition of hearths was stocking the heat. At the certain period of time and because of prolonged use, these were plastered once again. The hearths made from a layer of ceramic fragments were discovered also at Calopăr-Bâzdâna<sup>133</sup>, Vârț<sup>134</sup> at Ostrovul Corbului.

Regarding to the huts discovered at Brănet, we do not have information regarding their composition<sup>135</sup>, that from Copăcelu was destroyed by ages<sup>136</sup>.

## 2. Ovens

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup> Roman, Oprițescu 2008, p. 129.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 156.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>124</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 165, p. 165, fig. 39; Partially research according to the plan it belongs to this type.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup> *Ibidem*, p.130, fig. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 152.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> Ulanici 1976, p. 37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> Gherghe 1982, p. 40; Calotoiu *et alii* 1987, p. 25; Lazarovici, Lazarovici 2007, p. 315.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> Zirra, Pop 1995, p. 16, round hearth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>130</sup> Roman, Oprițescu 2008, p. 156, *Sector A*, S. I, level 6 depth 1.90-1.70 m, rectangular shape.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 130.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>132</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 130.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>133</sup> Zirra, Pop 1995, p. 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup> Gherghe 1982, p. 40; Calotoiu *et alii* 1987, p. 25; Lazarovici, Lazarovici 2007, p. 315.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>135</sup> Ulanici 1976, p. 41, it is mentioned the settlement in a higher area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>136</sup> Tuţulescu 2008, p. 18.

The archeological researches from the hill and mountain area of Oltenia are pretty poor, the only information regarding the ovens come from the West part of the area. The investigations made at Ostrovul Corbului-Botul Cliuciului, led to the discovery of two types of ovens:

- 2.a. Domestic ovens. At Ostrovul Corbului, Sector A.S. XII level 3 in a surface dwelling has been researched an oven with the diameter of 1m, strongly destroyed on the inside because of the use<sup>137</sup>.
- 2.b. Ovens for reducing ore. In the same sector S. XVI b at the border of squares 16-17 has been revealed a metallurgical oven. The installation was destroyed on the superior part (dome), by a new pit, horseshoe shaped, built in a mass of ashes, it had the side parts plated with Cotofeni pieces. The hearth was built over a layer of ashes and coals to which it had been added one layer of ceramic fragments. In the interior of the oven were found traces of hard, the orientation being North-South, with an opening to the North <sup>138</sup>.
  - 3. Pits.
  - 3.a. According to the setting in space:
  - 3.a.1. Interior pits. Inside the dwellings, they are certificated at Rogova<sup>139</sup>.
- 3.a.2. Exterior pits. Outside the dwelling at: Simnic 140, Rogova 141, Ostrovul Corbului<sup>142</sup>.
  - 3.b. According to the use.
  - 3.b.1. Household pits: Rogova<sup>143</sup>, Ostrovul Corbului<sup>144</sup>, Simnic.
  - 3.b.2. Pits for extracting the clay: Ostrovul Corbului<sup>145</sup>.
  - 3.b.3. Pits for gathering the excess of humidity: Rogova<sup>146</sup>.
  - 3.c. According to the shape:
  - 3.c.1. Oval: Ostrovul Corbului<sup>147</sup>.
  - 3.c.2. Round: Ostrovul Corbului 148.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>137</sup> Roman, Oprițescu 2008, p. 138.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>138</sup> Roman *et alii* 1983, p. 101, fig. 1/2; Roman, Opritescu 2008, p. 163-164, fig. 35-36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> Crăciunescu 2002, p. 20.

Galbenu 1975, p. 15; We do not have any information regarding the shape, depth or type. The only mention that we have is that coming from discovering in the filling of the holes with copper fragments, the size of a grain bean.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> Manea, Pădureanu 2005, p. 48, pl. II.

Roman, Oprițescu 2008, p. 127, Sector A, S.II; p. 142, S.XII-l, with irregular edges coming down in steps, p. 159, S. I, Gr. 13 A; p. 139, S.XII, Gr.2; p. 159, S. I, Gr. 13 A; p. 166, *Sector B*, S. XVIII.

143 Manea, Pădureanu 2005, p. 48, pl. II.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup> Roman, Opritescu 2008, p. 127, Sector A. S.II; p. 159, S. I. Gr. 13 A; p. 139, S.XII. Gr.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>145</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 127, *Sector A*, S. I, Gr. 10 (diameter 2 m), Gr. 15; p. 142, S.XII-l, with irregular edges coming down in steps.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>146</sup> Crăciunescu 2002, p. 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>147</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 127, *Sector A*, S.II, diameter 2,30 m, depth -70-80 cm; p. 159, S. I, Gr. 13 A, diameter 1,80x0,90 m, depth -0,52 m; p. 139, S.XII, Gr.2, diameter 2,10 m; p. 166, Sector B, S. XVIII,

## 3.c.3. With irregular shape: Ostrovul Corbului<sup>149</sup>.

The researches made in the few Cotofeni settlements do not offer information regarding the appearance of pits for supplies and why not some pits with spiritual features. Regarding this cultural manifestation, the research of the habitat in the area of the study is acceptably realized, the settlements discovered rise to a number of 102 points, the biggest sharing is owned by the surface researches. Proving a big power of adaptability, the Cotofeni communities are met in all the landforms. Regarding the settlements with many levels of inhabitation, we classify the discoveries from Ostrovul Corbului and Ocnele Mari-Zdup as belonging to the tell-s. According to the type, we notify the rise of some defensive systems in phase III of evolution, similar situation and in phases II-III of Glina communities, civilization to which Cotofeni is contemporary. The need of building these defensive systems must be suggested by an external danger and the appearance of culture Jamnaja can be a landmark. The proven hypothesis and the setting of the settlements coming from the same phase, mostly in higher lands, points that assured the surveillance of a vast territory, having in the same time protective role.

For the North-East area of Oltenia, around the salt platform Ocnele Mari, we notify the existence of five settlements, where the use of salt springs had an important role. The exploit was made by using lighter pots, considered by some specialists a prestige good 150.

The discoveries from the caves can be assigned to the succession of communities with an economy mostly bucolic.

The dwellings researched in the are of our study reveal a bigger share to the surface dwellings than the deepened once. The discovery of an oven for reducing ore reveals us a clear image of a society in a transition period, the crafts having an important role.

(traducere de Alexandru Zamfir)

<sup>150</sup> Monah 2008, p. 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>148</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 127, *Sector A*, S.II, diameter 1,30 m, depth -40 cm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 142, S. XII-l, with irregular edges coming down in steps.

## Abbreviations and bibliography

Angustia ArhOlteniei (SN)		Angustia. Muzeul Carpaților Răsăriteni. Sfântu Gheorghe Arhivele Olteniei (Serie Nouă). Craiova	
A.O.		Arhivele Olteniei (Serie Veche). Craiova	
Buridava CAMNI		Buridava. Studii și materiale. Rm. Vâlcea Cercetări Arheologice. Muzeul Național de Istorie al României. București	
CCDJ Comunicări Drobeta		Cultură și Civilizație la Dunărea de Jos. Călărași Comunicări. Craiova Drobeta. Turnu Severin	
Litua		Litua. Târgu Jiu	
Marisia		Marisia. Târgu Mureş	
Materiale		Materiale și Cercetări Arheologice. București	
PZ		Prähistorische Zeitschrift. Berlin	
Oltenia		Oltenia. Craiova	
SCIVA		Studii și Cercetări de Istorie Veche și Arheologie. București	
Studii și Cercetări		Studii și Cercetări speologice. București	
speologice		, ,	
St. Uni. Cib		Studia Universitatis Cibiniensis. Sibiu	
Th.D		Thraco-Dacica. București	
Alexandrov	1997	S. Alexandrov, <i>Cultura Coțofeni în Bulgaria</i> (teză de doctorat, coord. D. Berciu), București, manuscris.	
Berciu	1939		
Berciu	1961	D. Berciu, Contribuții la problemele neoliticului din	
		România în lumina noilor cercetări, București.	
Berciu	1966	D. Berciu, Zorile istoriei în Carpați și la Dunăre, București.	
Berciu et alii	1984	D. Berciu, M. Iosifaru, S. Purece, Gh. Andreescu, Descoperiri și însemnări de la Buridava Dacică, II, în	
Berciu et alii	1990	însemnări de la Buridava Dacică, VIII, în Th.D, XI, 1-2,	
Bondoc	2002	p. 135-138. D. Bondoc, <i>Costești-Vâlcea</i> , 45 secole de istorie, Rm. Vâlcea.	
Calotoiu <i>et</i> alii	1987	Gh. Calotoiu, I. Mocioi, V. Marinoiu, <i>Mărturii</i> arheologice în Gorj, Tg. Jiu.	
Ciugudean	2000	H. Ciugudean, Eneoliticul Final în Transilvania și	
		Banat: Cultura Coțofeni, Timișoara.	

B. Ciută, Cultivarea plantelor în pre- și protoistoria

Ciută

2009

Comșa	1968	bazinului intracarpatic din România. Analize statistice și spațiale asupra macroresturilor vegetale, Alba-Iulia. E. Comșa, Unele date despre descoperirile arheologice din Peștera Muierilor, de lângă Baia de Fier (epoca neolitică-epoca feudală), în Comunicări, Seria arheologică, VIII, Craiova.
Crăciunescu	2002	G. Crăciunescu, <i>Locuirea Coţofeni de la Rogova</i> , <i>judeţul Mehedinţi</i> , în Drobeta, XI-XII, p. 19-61.
Crăciunescu et alii	2001	G. Crăciunescu, Cr. Manea, M. Sârbu, I.C. Băltean, Rogova, com. Rogova, jud. Mehedinți, Punct: La Cazărmi, www.cimec.ro/Arheologie/CronicaCA2001/rap oarte/rapoarte_maine.htm
Crăciunescu et alii	2002	G. Crăciunescu, Cr. Manea, C. Para, Rogova, com. Rogova, jud. Mehedinți, Punct: La Cazărmi, www.cimec.ro/Arheologie/CronicaCA2002/introducere/default.htm
Crăciunescu, Manea	2003	G. Crăciunescu, Cr. Manea, Rogova, com. Rogova, jud. Mehedinți, Punct: La Cazărmi, www.cimec.ro/Arheologie/CronicaCA2003/cd/index.htm
Crăciunescu, Manea	2004	G. Crăciunescu, Cr. Manea, Rogova, com. Rogova, jud. Mehedinți, Punct: La Cazărmi, www.cimec.ro/Arheologie/CronicaCA2004/cd/index.htm
Crăciunescu, Manea	2005	G. Crăciunescu, Cr. Manea, Rogova, com. Rogova, jud. Mehedinți, Punct: La Cazărmi, www.cimec.ro/Arheologie/CronicaCA2005/cd/index.htm
Crăciunescu et alii	2006	G. Crăciunescu, Cr. Manea, O. Pădurean, Rogova, com. Rogova, jud. Mehedinți, Punct: La Cazărmi, http://www.cimec.ro/Arheologie/CronicaCA2006/cd/index.htm
Crăciunescu Manea	2007	G. Crăciunescu, Cr. Manea, Rogova, com. Rogova, jud. Mehedinți, Punct: La Cazărmi, www.cimec.ro/Arheologie/CronicaCA2007/cd/index.htm
Cucu	1961	V. Cucu, <i>Oltenia azi</i> , București.
Gherghe	1982	P. Gherghe, O nouă așezare de tip Coțofeni la Vîrț.
1982		Județul Gorj, în Oltenia, 4, p. 39-45.
Ghinea	2002	D. Ghinea, <i>Enciclopedia geografică a României</i> , București.
Ielenicz	1999	M. Ielenicz, Dealurile și podișurile României, București.
Lazarovici,	2007	C. M. Lazarovici, Gh. Lazarovici, Arhitectura
Lazarovici		neoliticului și epocii cuprului din România, II, Epoca cuprului, Iași.
Lazăr	1975	V. Lazăr, <i>Cultura Coţofeni în judeţul Mureş</i> , în Marisia, V, p. 29-42.
Lazăr	1976	V. Lazăr, Așezări de înălțime cu terase ale culturii

		Cotofeni în Transilvania, în Marisia, VI, p. 27-35.
Lazăr	1977	V. Lazăr, Așezarea Coțofeni de la Şincai (județul Mureș)
Lazai	17//	(I), în Marisia, VII, p. 17-56.
Lazăr	1978	V. Lazăr, Așezarea Coțofeni de la Şincai (județul Mureș)
Luzui	1770	(II), Marisia, VIII, p. 33-56.
Lazăr	1980	V. Lazăr, Așezări de înălțime cu terase Coțofeni în
Luzui	1700	Transilvania (III), în Marisia, X, p. 11-30.
Lazăr	1981-	V. Lazăr, Așezări de înălțime cu terase Coțofeni în
	1982	Transilvania. Considerații generale social-economice și
		istorice (IV), în Marisia, XI-XII, p. 31-40.
Lazăr	1997	S. Lazăr, Câteva considerații cu privire la așezările
		preistorice de la Piatra Olt, jud. Olt, în A. O. 12, p.
		13-22.
Liciu	2002	Doru Liciu, Noi descoperiri aparținând culturii Coțofeni
		pe teritoriul județului Mehedinți, în Drobeta, XI-XII, p.
		7-18.
Manea	2003	Cr. Manea, Locuirile sitului arheologic de la Rogova, în
		Drobeta, XIII, p. 48-54.
Manea,	2005	Cr. Manea, O. Pădureanu, Şantierul arheologic Rogova.
Pădureanu		Campania 2005, în Drobeta, XV, p. 47-56.
Monah	2008	D. Monah, Arheologia preistorică a sării în România.
		Scurt istoric, Sarea de la Prezent la trecut, Piatra-
		Neamţ, p. 13-40.
Petre Govora	1967	Gh. Petre Govora, Ceramica decorată prin împusături
		succesive din r. Rm. Vâlcea, în SCIVA. 4, 18, p. 645-
		654.
Petre Govora	1982	Gh. Petre Govora, Noi descoperiri aparțind culturii
~ ~	400-	Cotofeni, în nord-estul Olteniei, în Buridava,IV, p. 9-26.
Petre Govora	1995	Gh. Petre Govora, O preistorie a nord-estului Olteniei,
<b>D</b> . <b>G</b>	1005	Rm. Vâlcea.
Petre Govora	1997	Gh. Petre Govora, Govora de la primii oameni la
D1	1057	contemporani, Rm. Vâlcea.
Plopşor <i>et</i>	1957	C.S. Nicolăescu Plopșor, E. Comșa, D.C. Nicolăescu-
alii		Plopşor, Al. Bolomey, <i>Şantierul Arheologic Baia de Fier</i> , în Materiale, III, p. 13-27.
Done	2009	Cr. Popa, Cultura Coțofeni. Cu specială privire asupra
Popa	2009	Transilvaniei. Rezumat teza de doctorat,
		http://www.uab.ro/invatamant/doctorat/ro/pagini/rezumat
		e/CIPopa_Cotofeni_ro.pdf
Procopie	1982	G. Procopie, Cultura Coțofeni în Peștera Neolitică de pe
- 1000 P10		Valea Bistriței, în Studii și Cercetări speologice, vol. 2,
		p. 56-64.
Roman	1976	P. Roman, <i>Cultura Cotofeni</i> , București.
Roman	1977	P. Roman, <i>Die Cotofeni-Kultur</i> , în PZ 52, 2, p. 189-198.

Roman	1996	P. Roman, Ostrovul Corbului, Istoricul cercetărilor. Săpăturile arheologice și stratigrafie, I, I, a, p. 1-33
Roman, Oprițescu	2008	(versiune în limba germană, p. 35-65), București. P. Roman, A.D-Oprițescu, <i>Ostrovul Corbului între Km.</i> fluviali 911-912. Morminte și unele așezări preistorice, București.
Roşu	1980	Al. Roşu, Geografia fizică a României, București.
Smeu	1988	V. Smeu, Cadrul Natural și așezarea neolitică de la Runcu-Valea Mare, în Litua, IV, p. 5-9.
Schuster et alii	2010	Cr. Schuster, I. Tuţulescu, I. Dumitrescu, <i>Câteva</i> gânduri cu privire la exploatarea sării în nord-estul Olteniei. Din preistorie şi până în epoca modernă, în Angustia, 14, p. 261-270.
Schuster,	2011	Cr. Schuster, I. Tuţulescu, <i>Some remarks about the tools</i>
Tuţulescu	2011	used for obtaining salt in prehistory in nordhern
		Oltenia, în CCDJ, XXVIII, p. 139-152.
Ulanici	1975a	A. Ulanici, Săpăturile arheologice de la Braneţ, jud.
		Olt, în CAMNI 1, p. 45-76.
Ulanici	1975b	A. Ulanici, Așezarea de tip Coțofeni, de la Brăneț,
		județul Olt, în Muzeul Național II, p. 243-255.
Ulanici	1976	A. Ulanici, <i>Noi cercetări arheologice la Braneț</i> , în CAMNI 2, p. 33-72.
Tuţulescu	2008	I. Tuțulescu, Câteva contribuții la cunoașterea culturii
		Coţofeni de pe raza municipiului Râmnicu Vâlcea.
		Cercetările arheologice din anul 2002 de la Valea Răii-
		Copăcelu, în St. Uni. Cib, 5, p. 17-27.
Tuţulescu	2010	I. Tuţulescu, Contributions to the Archaeological
		Repertoire of Vâlcea County. 2008 Field Research, în
		St. Uni. Cib, 7, p. 31-40.
Vulpe	1967	Alexandru Vulpe, Necropola hallstattiană de la
7ina Dan	1005	Ferigile. Monografie, București.
Zira, Pop	1995	V. V. Zirra, D. Pop, <i>Săpăturile de la Bâzdâna-Calopăr</i> , "La Cetate", jud. Dolj. Campania 1993, în ArhOlteniei
		(SN)., 10, p. 13-28.
***	2001	Istoria Românilor, 2001.