

POTS AND PANDEMONIUM: THE EARLIEST EAST GREEK POTTERY FROM NORTH PONTIC NATIVE SETTLEMENTS

Gocha R. TSETSKHLADZE
(Melbourne, Australia)

Since the publication of B.V. Farmakovskii's studies in 1914 (*The Archaic Period in Russia*) and 1916 (*Milesian Vases from Russia*), followed by the article of T. N. Knipovich (*On the Trade Links of the Greeks with the River Tanais Region in the 7th-5th Centuries BC*) in 1934¹, the earliest East Greek pottery from native settlements of the steppes of the northern Black Sea has received considerable attention. A catalogue was produced by N.A. Onaiko in 1966, identifying and dating these finds and other pieces discovered in the previous thirty years according to what was then known². Over the last forty years a few more pieces have come to light but, more importantly, considerable advances have been made both in the dating of East Greek pottery and the identification of centres of production (see Table 1).

During the last decade or so this pottery from the northern Black Sea has received attention on a scale hitherto unknown³. This welcome development demonstrates not only the progress made in the study of such pottery but also the problems that it continues to present: academics have retained the use of different chronologies, whilst some publications give insufficient information about new pieces, or what they do give is confusing or contradictory or both. The principal difficulty is methodological: how should we interpret this pottery? It is important to know how the pottery came here - was it as a result of trading relationships with nearby Greek colonies, or was it something else? There are broader implications. Every time the chronology of the pottery changes, must we revise the accepted dates of establishment of the Greek colonies? If so, how many pieces

¹ The details of their investigations and their conclusions (published in Russian) are summarised in ONAIKO 1966, 8-9.

² ONAIKO 1966, 56, tables I-III.

³ DARAGAN 2001; BOUZEK 2005; FIALKO, BOLTRIK 2001; KOPYLOV 2002; 2003; 2004; KVIRKVELJA 2002, 177-178; BANDUROVSKII 2001; TSETSKHLADZE 1998 a, 10-15; 2003, 134, 149-152; KERSCHNER 2006 a; VAKHTINA 1991; 1996; 1998; 2000; 2002; 2004 a; 2004 b; 2007; etc.

of pottery need to be present to justify our doing so? How can we reconcile the dates for the foundation of colonies which we can extract from ancient written sources with those of the earliest pieces of pottery? Should we discard the old orthodoxy of 'pots equals people', that the find of even one piece of Greek pottery means a Greek presence in, or trade relationship with, a place? These are just a few aspects of the problem.

The aim of this article is to take a fresh look at the earliest East Greek pottery from North Pontic native settlements, primarily decorated tableware, highlighting what we know and what problems we face, and placing the Pontic area within the general framework of Greek colonisation⁴.

The Current State of Information and Publication

In recent publications it is not unusual to find incomplete information. Often, the earliest East Greek pottery is neither properly described nor illustrated. Thus it is practically impossible to check what kind of piece is being discussed (if, indeed, there is any discussion), what its date might be (see below), and so on.

Comparatively, the collection studied most fully is that from the *Nemirov* settlement (Table 1, no. 1). The first lengthy publication appeared in 1996⁵, a few others followed⁶, but the latest still calls itself a 'preliminary' publication⁷. A detailed catalogue is awaited, so that we can identify exactly how many pieces there are, of which category, their chronology, the context of finds, etc.

There are a few recent publications about the *Zhabotin* settlement (Table 1, no. 3)⁸, but no full publication or discussion of Greek pottery from this site. The best information remains that given by Onaiko⁹. From existing descriptions it is difficult to identify what kind of vessel was found; thus it is safe to call it just a 'fragment of an East Greek vessel'. According to the 1989 publication, it is simply a 'fragment of the wall of a Rhodian-Ionian vessel of the last quarter of the 7th century BC'¹⁰; another publication says nothing more precise than 'pottery of the Late Geometric and Orientalising phase MWG I'¹¹. For the *Ivane-Puste* settlement (Table 1, no. 4), the information I was able to find states just 'Chian painted pottery of the second half of the 7th century'¹² or 'fragments of painted Ionian amphorae'¹³. That given for *Zalesya* (Table 1, no. 5) is similarly vague¹⁴. No better information can be found about *Trakhtemirov* (Table 1, no. 2); very often the

⁴ Although I have very good access to Eastern European publications, I was unable to consult a few of potential relevance to this discussion. I cite throughout primarily the most recent publications.

⁵ VAKHTINA 1996.

⁶ VAKHTINA 1998; 2000; 2004 a; 2004 b.

⁷ VAKHTINA 2007.

⁸ DARAGAN 2001; 2004 a; 2004 b.

⁹ ONAIKO 1966, 56, no. 3.

¹⁰ KOVPANENKO *et alii* 1989, 52.

¹¹ DARAGAN 2004 a, 213-214.

¹² DARAGAN 2001, 52.

¹³ DARAGAN 2004 a, 214.

¹⁴ DARAGAN 2004 a, 214.

description given is 'Rhodian-Ionian kylix of the last quarter of the 7th century'¹⁵ or 'fragments of walls of a Rhodian vessel of the second half of the 7th century' (no detailed description is provided¹⁶)¹⁷.

Details about the piece from *Pozharnaya Balka* (Table 1, no. 8) are so vague that it is uncertain whether it should be included alongside the other early fragments. Onaiko describes it as the 'wall of a vessel with vaguely preserved leaf-and-ray ornament, from a Rhodian-Ionian centre, 7th-6th centuries BC'¹⁸, while a more recent publication offers it as a fragment of a vessel belonging to the Early Rhodian-Ionian group¹⁹.

Although the discovery of early Greek pottery during the excavation of *Belsk* settlement (Table 1, no. 7) has been known for over twenty years, it is still unpublished. In 1987 the information given was: 'the earliest example of Greek pottery in Belsk site is dated to the 7th century BC. This is a fragment of a bowl from a Rhodian-Ionian centre of the end of the 7th century BC. Now six such finds are known, but even earlier material has been discovered as well - fragments of decorated tableware from Rhodian-Ionian centres of the second quarter of the 7th century BC found in the Western and Eastern fortifications'²⁰. Another publication mentions only one fragment²¹, a third talks of 'fragments found in the Western fortifications belonging to the last third of the 7th century'²², a fourth 'a vessel of Orientalising style dating within 670-620 BC'²³, and a fifth a piece of Middle Wild Goat I-II²⁴. Only one mentions a fragment of a bird bowl of the second half of the 7th century BC²⁵.

For burials containing the earliest East Greek pottery (see Table 2), the state of publication and information is much better.

To summarise, there is an urgent need for the proper publication of data from settlements (such as Onaiko produced in 1966)²⁶.

Chronology

Another problem we face is the chronology of the pieces. Enormous progress has been made with the identification of the places of production of East Greek

¹⁵ KOVPANENKO *et alii* 1989, 52.

¹⁶ KOVPANENKO *et alii* 1989, 52.

¹⁷ It has required considerable effort to clarify the details presented in Table 1, no. 2. Even so, it is still difficult to be certain about piece no. 2. VAKHTINA (2004 b, 209) mentions two fragments, one a North Ionian kylix (bird bowl), the other a fragment of a decorated South Ionian vessel (oinochoe?).

¹⁸ ONAIKO 1966, 56, no. 4.

¹⁹ BANDUROVSKII 2001, 15.

²⁰ SHRAMKO 1987, 125.

²¹ VAKHTINA 2004 a, 55.

²² KOPYLOV 2002, 229. The publication gives no numbers, nor any other details. It cites a typescript of B.A. Shramko's 2000 field report kept in the archive of the Institute of Archaeology, National Academy of Sciences, Kiev. At the same time, Kopylov thanks M.N. Daragan and S.V. Polin for sending him photocopies of photographs of East Greek pottery (KOPYLOV 2002, 229, n. 33 [should be n. 2]).

²³ BANDUROVSKII 2001, 15.

²⁴ DARAGAN 2004 b, 133.

²⁵ DARAGAN 2004 b, 133.

²⁶ ONAIKO 1966, 56. See also KOPEIKINA 1972; BOUZEK 1990, 27, 32-34.

pottery, and with dating it²⁷, but difficulties remain. In particular, authors continue to use a variety of dating systems and classifications.

Some date the earliest piece of pottery from Nemirov to the last third of the 7th century BC²⁸, while the new classification for East Greek pottery (see below) dates one fragment of amphora (initially identified by M.Y. Vakhtina as an oinochoe) to 650-630 BC²⁹. Even this does not place the earliest pottery in the last third of the 7th century unless we take the very lowest part of the date range.

In some publications the fragment of a bird bowl from Trakhtemirov (Table 1, no. 2.1) is dated to the second half of the 7th century BC³⁰, another assigns it to the first third of that century³¹, and yet another to the third quarter of the century³². Similar bird bowls have been dated to the middle-second half of the 7th century³³. It is very difficult in these circumstances to be certain. The best solution seems to lie in dating this piece to the last quarter/second half of the 7th century, like the vast majority of other early East Greek pottery from native sites.

Publications also offer different dates for MWG I. The piece from Zhabotin (Table 1, no. 3) is assigned to this group and dated to 665/60-650/45 BC³⁴. In the publication of the Nemirov pottery the same style is dated to the end of the third quarter of the 7th century³⁵, but MWG II is dated to the end of the third quarter-last quarter of the 7th century³⁶. One piece from Belsk (Table 1, no. 7.2) is assigned by some to MWG I-II³⁷, whilst others date it to 670-620 BC³⁸ or to the last third of the 7th century³⁹.

Early pieces from Ivane-Puste are dated by one publication to the second half of the 7th century BC⁴⁰, by another to the end of 7th-first half of 6th century⁴¹.

According to one publication, the earliest East Greek pottery found in native sites of the forest-steppe dates to 640-600 BC and comes from Nemirov, Trakhtemirov, Belsk and the burial near the village Boltyska (Table 2, no. 3)⁴².

In 2005, based on painstaking study, M. Kerschner and U. Schlotzhauer published a new classification scheme for South Ionian pottery⁴³. In this, R.

²⁷ See, for example, COOK, DUPONT 1998; BOARDMAN 1998, 141-176. Detailed bibliography is given in KERSCHNER, SCHLOTZHAUER 2005, 1-9.

²⁸ VAKHTINA 2004 a, 55.

²⁹ KERSCHNER, SCHLOTZHAUER 2005, 17, no. 26.

³⁰ DARAGAN 2004 b, 133.

³¹ KERSCHNER 2006 a, 239.

³² BESSONOVA 1996, 31.

³³ COOK, DUPONT 1998, 26.

³⁴ DARAGAN 2004 a, 213-214.

³⁵ VAKHTINA 2007, 513.

³⁶ VAKHTINA 2007, 513.

³⁷ DARAGAN 2004 b, 133.

³⁸ BANDUROVSKII 2001, 15.

³⁹ KOPYLOV 2002, 230.

⁴⁰ DARAGAN 2004 a, 214.

⁴¹ VAKHTINA 2004 a, 56.

⁴² VAKHTINA 2004 a.

⁴³ KERSCHNER, SCHLOTZHAUER 2005. The first version of this article appeared in German in COBET *et alii* 2007, 295-317 (this was initially a paper presented to the symposium on Ionia held in September 1999; publication was delayed).

Cook's Early Orientalising and Early Wild Goat style correspond to the new South Ionian Archaic Ia (SiA Ia: ca. 670-650 BC), his MWG I to SiA Ib (ca. 650-630 BC), MWG II to SiA Ic (ca. 630-610 BC), and MWG II advanced examples and MWG III to SiA Id (ca. 610-580 BC).

It is obvious that this classification favours higher dating, by at least ten years, if not more. For example, the Milesian painted oinochoe from *Temir-Gora* (Table 2, no. 2), previously dated by all to 640-630 BC⁴⁴, becomes 650-630 BC⁴⁵. The neck of an East Greek oinochoe from the Boltyska burial (Table 2, no. 3), dated by some to the late 7th century⁴⁶ and by others to the end of 7th-first third of the 6th century⁴⁷, now too falls within 650-630 BC⁴⁸. The piece from Alekseevka (Table 1, no. 9) once dated to 620-590 BC is now 630-590 BC⁴⁹.

Kerschner and Schlotzhauer's new system of classification is a 'step towards a "common language" for East Greek pottery studies'⁵⁰, although, as the authors themselves observe: 'The proposed classification should be regarded as no more than a framework awaiting further detailed studies at different production centres as well as wherever East Greek pottery might be found in the future. The aim of this draft is merely to achieve clarity and unambiguity of the terminology and to make comparisons between different classes of pottery easier'⁵¹.

Thus, despite considerable advances in our knowledge of East Greek pottery, there is still much room for improvement.

It should be mentioned that changes in chronology resulting in higher dating are not limited to East Greek pottery. The formulation of a new absolute chronology for the whole Mediterranean Iron Age is in progress, based on scientific methods⁵². One consequence, through the use of radiocarbon data, has been to raise the date of the Phoenician presence in Spain, and Phoenician expansion in general, by between fifty and one hundred years, pushing it back into the 9th century BC. Another, flowing from a revision to the Central European chronology based largely on dendrochronology, is that the dates of the Iron Age phases in Italy have been raised by some seventy to eighty years. However, chronology in southern Italy is linked to the Mediterranean. And the continued adherence of many scholars to the established chronology has led to double dating of every event in the Orientalising period. Meanwhile, there are two chronologies proposed for the Levant, one lowering Palestinian Early Iron Age II

⁴⁴ See, for example, KOPEIKINA 1972; BOARDMAN 1998, 143, fig. 285; COOK, DUPONT 1998, 36, fig. 8.5.

⁴⁵ KERSCHNER, SCHLOTZHAUER 2005, 17, no. 22.

⁴⁶ BOARDMAN 1999, 244, fig. 283.

⁴⁷ KOPYLOV 2003, 136, table, no. 7.

⁴⁸ KERSCHNER, SCHLOTZHAUER 2005, 17, no. 23.

⁴⁹ KERSHNER 2006 a, 242, n. 111.

⁵⁰ KERSCHNER, SCHLOTZHAUER 2005, 52. Indeed, as this section clearly demonstrates, we need a common language for the classification and dating of East Greek pottery. See also J.-P. Morel's paper in Cabrera Bonet and Santos Retolaza 2000, 11-26.

⁵¹ KERSCHNER, SCHLOTZHAUER 2005, 52.

⁵² See NIJBOER 2005; ATTEMA *et alii* 2005; BARTOLONI, DELPINO 2005; GONZÁLES de CANALES *et alii* 2006; NIJBOER, VAN DER PLICHT 2006; GILBOA, SHARON 2000; GILBOA 2005; For more information and bibliography, see TSETSKHLADZE 2006 a, XXXI-XXXVIII, XLVIII-XLIX.

to the 9th century, the other raising it. Whilst radiocarbon and dendrochronological evidence from Gordion indicate that the so-called Cimmerian destruction level should be shifted from ca, 700 BC to 830-800 BC, with implications for the whole of Anatolia⁵³. This too has met with opposition⁵⁴.

Change is also underway to the chronology of the Scythian antiquities of the steppes, again chiefly using radiocarbon dating⁵⁵. The reassessment of the absolute chronology of 'Scythian' monuments of the Archaic period in the northern Black Sea and Caucasus, begun as long ago as the 1980s, has pushed this period back to the second half or end of the 8th century BC, at least for 'European Scythia'⁵⁶. These changes have an impact on the present discussion, especially for the contextual evaluation of the finds of Greek pottery (see below). It is difficult to use the terms 'Scythia' and 'Scythian culture' for the 8th-7th centuries BC; they are taken largely from Herodotus' later description of the peoples living on the European steppes. And even in his time the Scythians were not a monolithic ethnic entity, rather a grouping of many different tribes under the general description of 'Scythians'. The material culture of the European steppes in the Archaic period displays strong regional variations, displaying some strong features of the neighbouring Hallstatt culture⁵⁷. There is also some serious confusion about the information given by Herodotus (4. 99) with regard to 'Archaic Scythia'⁵⁸. It was not until the second half/end of the 6th-first half of the 5th century that the 'Scythians' finally occupied the steppes of the northern Black Sea, and there is still disagreement as to whether a settled or nomadic/semi-nomadic population had previously dwelt these territories⁵⁹. Thus, references in this article to 'Scythia/Scythian/Scythians' are to an ethnically diverse local population of the 7th-first half of 6th century BC whose real name(s) we do not know.

Context

To gain a proper understanding of pottery it is necessary to know the context in which it was discovered, especially when it is found in settlements. Recent publications have not provided much detail. In Ivane-Puste, fragments of Chian painted ware were discovered together with Thracian grey-clay wheel-made and handmade local pottery⁶⁰. The earliest East Greek pottery in Nemirov was found alongside a large quantity of ceramics connected with the Ferigele culture, the successor to the Basarabi culture⁶¹.

⁵³ DEVRIES *et alii* 2005; KEALHOFER 2005, 10-55.

⁵⁴ MUSCARELLA 2003; KEENAN 2004; etc.

⁵⁵ See ALEKSEEV 2003, 15-37.

⁵⁶ See, for example, SMIRNOVA 1999, 44.

⁵⁷ MELYUKOVA 1989, 10-28, 33-79.

⁵⁸ For the latest discussion, see HIND 2005.

⁵⁹ MELYUKOVA 2001, 30.

⁶⁰ DARAGAN 2004 a, 214.

⁶¹ SMIRNOVA 1998, 86, 97. Some pottery was discovered in dugouts 1 and 2. The pottery assemblage of the Nemirov settlement, which existed from the end of the 8th to the 6th century BC, displays the strong influence of Eastern Hallstatt (and its eastern periphery, the Carpatho-Danubian area). Indeed it may even be of Eastern Hallstatt origin (SMIRNOVA 1996; 2001).

In Zhabotin, a piece was unearthed in Trench 7 among local pottery, in a level of the Zhabotin III Horizon⁶². Its presence has been used by some to suggest that a clay altar found here was possibly made by Greeks, or influenced by Greek art, because it bears decoration reminiscent of that on Orientalising-style East Greek pottery⁶³. The evidence seems too slight to carry this conclusion. One author also mentions a 'large quantity of Ionian pottery' of the Orientalising style dating to the pre-colonial period⁶⁴. In fact only seven fragments of Greek pottery have been discovered in Zhabotin, and only one of these can be identified and dated (see Table 1 no. 3) - the other six are so small that all that can be said is that they come from some kind of amphora(e); they are impossible to date⁶⁵. Furthermore, the details of the altar are even more uncertain than hitherto supposed⁶⁶.

At Trakhtemirov, a fragment of a bird bowl was discovered on the remains of a clay altar forming part of a subterranean cult complex (shrine). The bowl belonged in a depression in the middle of the altar, where it was surrounded by the crushed fragments of a handmade bowl. The altar had scroll decoration⁶⁷.

The fragment from Motroninskoe was found in Trench 6 in the eastern part of the inner fortification. The level contained four semi-pithouses, two above-ground buildings, twenty-four storage pits, etc.⁶⁸. If we turn to the piece from Alekseevka, no context is given. One may suppose that it was found during a survey of this settlement - no excavations have been carried out here, just surveys that yielded a large amount of local pottery⁶⁹.

Thus, not much can be said in detail about context. As mentioned above, the collection from Belsk still awaits publication. The other pieces were discovered alongside local ware.

Interpretation

To summarise, the information given in Table 1 presents the following picture. Eight native settlements (two of them questionable) have yielded examples of the earliest East Greek decorated tableware. The exact quantities from Nemirov, Ivane-Puste and Zalesya are unknown; from the rest we have just eight specimens (which does not suggest that these other sites will contain many). From burials there are two more (Table 2, nos. 2-3). Therefore, we may have some fifteen pieces, twenty at most. The settlements fall into three distinct areas: the Middle Dnieper and environs, the Crimea, and the western Kuban. The last is

⁶² DARAGAN 2001. On the Zhabotin III Horizon, which is synchronous with Basarabi III and Ferigele I-II (beginning-middle of the 7th century BC), see DARAGAN 2004 a; 2004 b, 132-136.

⁶³ RUSYAEVA 1999, 96.

⁶⁴ ZUEV 1993, 43.

⁶⁵ DARAGAN 2001, 51.

⁶⁶ DARAGAN 2001, 50-51.

⁶⁷ KOVPANENKO *et alii* 1989, 41, 52, 60, 71-74; BESSONOVA 1996, 30-31, fig. 4.

⁶⁸ BESSONOVA, SKORYI 2001, 10-11, 82-83.

⁶⁹ SALOV 1986. This settlement was situated on three hills. It is thought that it became part of the *chora* of the Greek settlement established in the middle-last quarter of the 6th century BC on the site of modern Anapa (known as Gorgippia from the 4th century BC) (ALEKSEEVA 1997, 22).

included here despite the comparatively late date of the sherd from Alekseevka (Table 1, no. 9) because that piece predates the appearance of Greek colonies in the neighbouring Cimmerian Bosphorus, where the earliest settlements are Panticapaeum on the Kerch Peninsula (turn of the first and second quarters of the 6th century BC) and Hermonassa on the Taman Peninsula (ca. 580-570 BC)⁷⁰.

The problems of dating some pieces are obvious (see Table 1). They range from the first third to the last quarter/second half/end of the 7th century BC. According to Kerschner, the earliest is a fragment of a bird bowl from Trakhtemirov (Table 1, no. 2.1). Others date this to the middle-second half of the 7th century. I have already observed that the later date should be favoured in line with pieces from the other settlements under discussion. All the chronological ranges given - 650-630 BC, 640-630 BC, 630-590 BC, last quarter of the 7th century BC, second half of the 7th century BC, middle-second half of the 7th century BC - fall, in some degree or other, within the last third of the 7th century.

In short, it is entirely reasonable to assign all our examples to this latter, single period, rather than looking to over-refine the differences within a very limited body of evidence. Just as some favour the upper range of dates, others, myself included, favour the lower (which is compatible with the archaeological data we possess for the foundation of the first Greek colonies).

As well as tableware, amphora fragments have been found. Recently, a mid-7th century date has been given to the very small number of fragments of early East Greek trade amphorae found at Black Sea sites⁷¹. The authors remark that this date 'fits quite well with that of "Middle Wild Goat I" finds and provides us with a supplementary chronological marker for the Greek penetration of the Black Sea'⁷². But this seems to contradict what they said previously, namely that 'The earliest pottery finds in the Black Sea area consist of Milesian Middle Wild Goat I of ca. 630, of North Ionian bird bowls of the last third of the 7th century and of South-Ionian cups of Vallet-Villard A1-A2 types, some of which possibly date back to the mid-7th century'⁷³. But can 'ca. 630' be considered 'middle'?

The exact dates of the establishment of the first Pontic colonies are still problematic. We can distinguish two sets of dates: one provided by ancient authors, the other by archaeological material, primarily the earliest East Greek pottery. Needless to say, the dates given by written sources are favoured by ancient historians, whilst those provided by archaeological evidence are favoured by archaeologists⁷⁴. One recent tendency should be emphasised: the new classification of East Greek pottery trends towards higher dating, probably in an attempt at reconciliation with the foundation dates given in literary sources. A feature to be noted is that it is commonly the upper date in a range that is raised while the lower date stays the same: for example, as I have already mentioned, the Milesian painted oinochoe from Temir-Gora previously dated to 640-630 BC becomes 650-630 BC (Table 2, no. 2). I must repeat that just as some favour the

⁷⁰ TSETSKHLADZE 1997, 44-46, 55-57; KOSHELENKO, KUZNETSOV 1998, 254-261.

⁷¹ DUPONT, SKARLATIDOU 2002; 2005.

⁷² DUPONT, SKARLATIDOU 2002, 52.

⁷³ DUPONT, SKARLATIDOU 2002, 51.

⁷⁴ For discussion, with bibliography, see TSETSKHLADZE 1994, 111-113.

upper part of the range, others, as I do, favour the lower. Both positions are entirely reasonable, but the latter is more logical, especially when we consider that the vast majority of the earliest East Greek pottery known falls within the range of the last quarter/last third of the 7th century BC.

These discrepancies have been discussed many times⁷⁵, so there is no need here for me to repeat the arguments in detail. For the northern Black Sea, the establishment date of Berezan (ancient Borysthene) is the principal problem. According to Eusebius, it was 646/5 BC. If we turn to the archaeological evidence, our main source is East Greek pottery, which has long indicated that this settlement was founded no earlier than the last third of the 7th century. In 1986 L.V. Kopeikina published some statistics from excavations at Berezan between 1962 and 1979 for sector G and the north-west sector combined. These were repeated by J. Bouzek in 1990, who added background information from other sources⁷⁶: Wild Goat (i.e. Milesian, Clazomenian and North Ionian together) - 1083; Fikellura - 200; Chian - 123; Ionian banded ware - 526; Clazomenian Black Figure - 43; Corinthian - 125; Attic Black Figure - 552; Attic Red Figure - 8. More recent studies⁷⁷ of the Archaic Ionian pottery found on Berezan confirm the last third of the 7th century as the date of the earliest pieces, and break down its origins as: South Ionian 71%, North Ionian 28%, Aeolian 1%.

Another colony whose foundation date has been hotly disputed is Olbia, founded by Miletus. Fourteen different opinions have been expressed, ranging from 655/4-645/4 BC down to the second half of the 6th century BC⁷⁸. Recently, the East Greek pottery from this site has been published, composed mainly of material found in the last few decades in the south-east part of the Upper City⁷⁹. The earliest examples date between 600 and 580 BC⁸⁰; one publication favours an establishment date of ca. 590 BC⁸¹, another ca. 580 BC⁸².

If we turn to the Taganrog settlement on the Sea of Azov, there is only a collection of pottery washed up on the shore: the settlement itself is submerged⁸³. The previously accepted foundation date was the 630s BC⁸⁴; now it is presumed to be the 640s BC, probably in view of the revised chronology for East Greek pottery with its extended upper range⁸⁵.

⁷⁵ See TSETSKHLADZE 1994, 111-113, 117-118; forthcoming; HIND 1999; AVRAM *et alii* 2004, 924-927; KERSCHNER 1996 a, 228-231. The situation regarding the southern Black Sea has not changed: no new archaeological evidence has emerged (see TSETSKHLADZE 2007, 160-180). For recent discoveries of Archaic Greek pottery from Istanbul, see GÜN ISIGINDA 2007, 70-72, 144 (no. SC2: WG of 625-600 BC), 145, 248.

⁷⁶ BOUZEK 1990, 23-24. See also TSETSKHLADZE 1994, 117.

⁷⁷ POSAMENTIR 2006, 160, 162. See also POSAMENTIR, SOLOVYOV 2006; KERSCHNER 2006 b.

⁷⁸ RUSYAEVA 1998, 161, table.

⁷⁹ KRAPIVINA, BUISKIKH 2001; BUJSKIKH 2007. See also ILINA 2004.

⁸⁰ BUJSKIKH 2007, 506. Kerschner places some pieces in class SiA Ic: KERSCHNER 2006 a, 234, Abb. 10.

⁸¹ ILINA 2004, 81.

⁸² BUJSKIKH 2007, 506.

⁸³ For the Taganrog settlement, see now LARENOK, DALLY 2002.

⁸⁴ KOPYLOV 1999, 174.

⁸⁵ KOPYLOV 2004, 62.

There is also a disparity between the written and archaeological sources for the date of establishment of Histria. Eusebius gives 657/6 BC; Pseudo-Skymnos, the late 7th century BC. The earliest East Greek pottery, altogether thirty-six examples of MWG, places it between the two literary dates at about 630 BC⁸⁶. Orgame has latterly received much attention⁸⁷. Some think this settlement was established directly by Miletus, another that it was a secondary colony of Histria⁸⁸. Five fragments of MWG I oinochoai suggest a foundation date of 640-630 BC⁸⁹. The necropolis at Orgame has yielded a large tomb of the third quarter of the 7th century (TA 95)⁹⁰; the involvement of the hero cult with it runs from some time later until the 3rd century BC⁹¹. Recent rescue excavations and finds of East Greek pottery at Apollonia Pontica once again confirm that this colony was established in ca. 610 BC, as we know from written sources⁹².

The Black Sea is not the only area for which there is a discrepancy between the archaeological and literary evidence for foundation dates of Greek colonies⁹³. Sicily, where dates are based mainly on Thucydides, but with some data from Eusebius as well, is one such instance. There have been several efforts to reconcile the dates provided by the earliest pottery with that from written sources. Thucydides' dates are largely reliable (see Tables 3-4)⁹⁴. In contrast, the literary foundation date of Carthage, 814/13 BC, cannot be confirmed by conventional absolute chronology (see Table 5) but has been using scientific methods⁹⁵. There are problems with the Greek colonies in the Iberian Peninsula as well (see Table 6). Furthermore, as J. Vanschoonwinkel's studies demonstrate with respect to Asia Minor, the dates provided by the written record of Greek settlement generally accord with those of the (Protogeometric) Greek pottery found along the western coast of Anatolia, whilst acknowledging the limitations of literary-mythological stories on the one hand and a pottery-based (and pottery-biased) material perspective on the other⁹⁶.

To understand the presence of Greek pottery in a native milieu, it is very important to know the context in which it was found. A stage has been reached in our investigations at which it is necessary to reject many orthodox views, for instance that pots equals people, or that the presence of even a single Greek pot is

⁸⁶ For a summary of publications, see BOUZEK 1990, 21-22; TSETSKHLADZE 1994, 117.

⁸⁷ MANUCU-ADAMESTEANU 2000; 2003; LUNGU 2003; etc.

⁸⁸ AVRAM 2003, 286-287; AVRAM *et alii* 2004, 940.

⁸⁹ MANUCU-ADAMESTEANU 2000. Kerschner gives slightly different dates, again pushing them back. One piece is classified as SiA Ib or Ic, and the others as North Ionian Archaic I: KERSCHNER 2006 a, 233, Abb. 7-8, 234, Abb. 9, 236, Abb. 11.

⁹⁰ LUNGU 2000-2001.

⁹¹ LUNGU 2002.

⁹² NEDEV, PANAYOTOVA 2003, 96-101.

⁹³ See table 6 in TSETSKHLADZE 2006 a, LXVII-LXXIII, which gives information on the literary foundation dates for colonies around the Mediterranean and the Black Sea and the earliest archaeological evidence from them, as well as indicating the presence of local peoples in the vicinity of a colony.

⁹⁴ See, for example, DOMÍNGUEZ 2006 a. For southern Italy, see YNTEMA 2000.

⁹⁵ For Carthage, see now NIEMEYER *et alii* 2007.

⁹⁶ VANSCHOONWINKEL 2006 a; 2006 b.

evidence of a trading relationship with Greeks (since such a pot could only have been brought by Greeks)⁹⁷. Although there is a long way to go, it is obvious that the local population looked at Greek pottery differently from the Greeks; nor was it used in the same way in local settlements as in Greek cities. A few pieces alone could not indicate a trading relationship - they might just as easily have been brought by locals as by Greeks, for pots travel in various ways and for different reasons⁹⁸. Particularly in early periods such as the 7th century, the use of pots as a form of 'diplomatic gift' cannot be excluded⁹⁹. The few early pieces from the northern Black Sea might be viewed as examples of this, especially when we consider that the first colonists were few in number and their initial settlements very small and not yet self-sufficient: it would be natural to seek good relations from the outset with the local population in areas where there was one, and to use gifts as a means of securing this¹⁰⁰. One piece of information from Strabo may be relevant, when he says: '... the Nomads are warriors rather than brigands, yet they go to war only for the sake of the tribute due to them... for if the tributes were paid regularly, they would never resort to war...' (Strabo 7. 4. 6).

The position in other places could be different, as it was with Massalia and the local population thereabouts. According to the legend about the origins of Massalia, the Greeks were given a welcome reception by the local chief (of the Celto-Ligurian tribe of the Segobriges). His daughter married a Greek and he offered his son-in-law the land on which the new town was to be built¹⁰¹. From an archaeological point of view we know about the VIX crater, interpreted as a 'diplomatic gift'¹⁰². In general, we are re-examining our understanding of local peoples: nowadays they seem much less 'barbarian' than we thought¹⁰³. More and more evidence is coming to light to demonstrate that, from the start of colonisation, locals played an important role (even in the laying down of colonies), and that the relationship between the indigenous population and the incomers was not simply one of trade but was more sophisticated and complex¹⁰⁴. When we focus on the Black Sea, we can see that, overall, the locals tended more to be 'collaborators' with the Greeks in the colonial venture than opponents of it¹⁰⁵. Indeed, this a common thread in Ionian colonial settlement everywhere, not just around Black Sea¹⁰⁶.

If a few pieces of pottery are insufficient to prove the existence of trade

⁹⁷ BOARDMAN 2001; CABRERA *et alii* 2004; MARCONI 2004; RATHJE *et alii* 2002; RÜCKERT, KOLB 2003; SCHEFFER 2001; SCHMALTZ, SÖLDER 2003. For further discussion and more bibliography, see TSETSKHLADZE 2006 a, LIII-LIV.

⁹⁸ Cf. TSETSKHLADZE 2005.

⁹⁹ For the latest discussion about gift exchange, see VAN WEES 1998; WAGNER-HASEL 2006.

¹⁰⁰ A 'diplomatic gift' could take the form of any object or commodity. It may not have survived, indeed it might have been something consumable and/or perishable.

¹⁰¹ MOREL 2006, 365.

¹⁰² MOREL 2006, 396-399.

¹⁰³ For discussion and bibliography, see TSETSKHLADZE 2006 a, LI-LVI. See also HODOS 2006.

¹⁰⁴ See, for example, DE ANGELIS 2003; MALKIN 2002.

¹⁰⁵ ANTONACCIO 2007, 214. See also TSETSKHLADZE 2002.

¹⁰⁶ TSETSKHLADZE 2002.

relations, how many do we need? I shall give examples from two local settlements. Belsk yielded over 10,000 fragments of Greek pottery during eighteen years of excavation, the vast majority of them from the middle of the 6th-5th century BC¹⁰⁷. During the excavation of the Motroninskoe settlement, 65,000 fragments and about fifty complete and archaeologically complete examples of local handmade pottery were found. The Greek pottery consists of more than 7500 fragments and five archaeologically complete vessels, 96% of it amphora fragments. Overall, the Greek pottery forms 15.5% of all pottery found, and the vast majority of it comes from the last third of the 6th-first quarter of the 5th century BC¹⁰⁸. Our general understanding of the nature and pattern of trade in the Archaic period is also undergoing change¹⁰⁹.

What kind of settlements were those in which the pottery discussed here was discovered? All were large and important, identified as centres of local administration¹¹⁰. Nemirov covered 110 ha; its ramparts were 8 m in height; in the middle of the settlement lies an acropolis with an area of 12.5 ha¹¹¹. Motroninskoe spread over 200 ha, of which 70 ha is enclosed within fortifications formed of earthen ramparts 10.5 m high and a ditch/moat 4-6 m deep and 10-15 m across. Outside the fortifications are three burial grounds containing sixty kurgans¹¹². Trakhtemirov extended to 500 ha, defended by earthen ramparts, ditches and a wooden fortification structure¹¹³. The largest was Belsk, which occupied 4020 ha; it had a defensive perimeter of 25 km and an estimated population of 4000-5000. The ramparts were 9 m high and the ditches over 5 m deep. During excavation of Belsk shrines, a large sanctuary, workshops, dwellings, etc. were uncovered. The site actually included three smaller settlements - western (72 ha), eastern (65.2 ha) and Kuzeminskoe (15.4 ha), each with its own fortifications - and about nine other populated places¹¹⁴.

It is obvious that these centres controlled large areas of the steppes, and highly likely that the first colonists, having established their settlements on the northern Black Sea coast, came to them as they set out to explore the hinterland and establish contacts with the local population and their elite. Discussion continues¹¹⁵ about whether the areas of the immediate hinterland adjacent to the earliest Greek settlements in the northern Black Sea had a settled or a nomadic population, or, as many believe, were unpopulated. Maybe this last could explain why the early Greek pottery is found in settlements of the deep hinterland several hundred kilometres from the Black Sea coast.

The Nemirov settlement stands out; so too does the pottery found there in

¹⁰⁷ SHRAMKO 1987, 121-126, 174-179. By origin: Ionian 16%; Chian (including amphorae) 12.6%; Thasian amphorae 7.8%; Attic 3.7% (little painted, mostly black glaze); Lesbian amphorae 1.7%; amphorae of unidentified origin 38.1%.

¹⁰⁸ BESSONOVA, SKORYI 1999, 37.

¹⁰⁹ See, for example, FOXHALL 1998; CABRERA BONET, SANTOS RETOLAZA 2000.

¹¹⁰ MELYUKOVA 1989, 51-80.

¹¹¹ SMIRNOVA 1996; 1998; 2001.

¹¹² BESSONOVA, SKORYI 1999.

¹¹³ MELYUKOVA 1989, 68.

¹¹⁴ SHRAMKO 1987; MELYUKOVA 1989, 74-75.

¹¹⁵ See, for example, MELYUKOVA 2001.

both quantity and character. It has long been supposed that this was where Greek pottery came first for onward distribution to other parts of the steppe. M. Vakhtina states that the bulk of the oinochoai at Nemirov show a chronological and stylistic uniformity; she believes that most of the pottery reached here in the second half of the 7th century BC as part of a single consignment¹¹⁶. This is, of course, possible, but it is not the only explanation. In some cases the clay looks 'orthodox Milesian'¹¹⁷. However, the clay of several pieces which I saw in the Hermitage in April 2002 does not look particularly East Greek. Of course, this is just a surface impression; to be certain, a chemical analysis of the clay is required. Might this not demonstrate that some pottery could have been produced in Nemirov itself by a migrant potter, as I have already proposed elsewhere¹¹⁸? Vakhtina herself suggested that it was likely that such a potter existed, producing grey wheel-made pottery which is not in the local tradition but which is widespread not only in Nemirov but in other native settlements where early Greek pottery is found. The appearance of such ware was contemporary with the foundation of the Greek colonies. Its disappearance came at the end of the 6th-first third of the 5th century, when life in many steppe-settlements ceased¹¹⁹.

A little later we have far more evidence of Greek craftsmen residing and working in local political centres, despite their distance from the seaboard colonies, not only around the Black Sea but in other colonial areas as well¹²⁰. Why should it not be the case that a few pursued this course of action in the early stages of colonisation?

Let us turn to Berezan. Many publications consider that the early pottery discussed in this article reached the native settlements through this Greek colony, a site in many respects unique for the northern Black Sea. I shall discuss here only one aspect: the possibility that pottery of East Greek type was actually produced in Berezan (an idea already proposed in the literature¹²¹ but often overlooked). Since then, however, new publications of the Ionian pottery found here oblige us to revisit this question¹²². The neutron activation analysis of 111 pottery samples from Berezan demonstrated that not all the East Greek pottery here originated from Ionian workshops. So far, it is difficult to identify from where the rest of it came¹²³. We know of only two centres outside Ionia that were producing East Greek-type pottery: one workshop, located somewhere in the Hellespontine area, manufactured Milesian-type pottery; the other, at Aeolian Kyme, produced pottery in North Ionian style¹²⁴. A recent suggestion is that there was a third, situated on Berezan. This would not be surprising when we consider that local centres of pottery production existed from the early 6th century BC onwards in

¹¹⁶ VAKHTINA 2007, 516-517.

¹¹⁷ VAKHTINA 2007, 512.

¹¹⁸ TSETSKHLADZE 2003, 134.

¹¹⁹ VAKHTINA 2004 a, 57. For this kind of pottery, see SMIRNOVA 1999.

¹²⁰ See TSETSKHLADZE 2000; 2002; 2003, 149-159 (all with bibliography).

¹²¹ See, for example, COOK, DUPONT 1998, 66-67, 90-91.

¹²² POSAMENTIR 2006, 164-167.

¹²³ KERSCHNER 2006 b; POSAMENTIR, SOLOVYOV 2006; MOMMSEN *et alii* 2006.

¹²⁴ POSAMENTIR 2006, 164-167; KERSCHNER 2006 b; POSAMENTIR, SOLOVYOV 2006; MOMMSEN *et alii* 2006.

Histria, Nymphaeum, Panticapaeum, Phanagoria, Gorgippia, Sinope, Chersonesus, etc.¹²⁵. Once again, no remains of kilns have been found in Berezan, but why should we not suggest that such production might have been undertaken soon after the initial settlement was established? - it would not be surprising, for it happened elsewhere¹²⁶. Maybe some if not all of the earliest Greek pottery found in native settlements was made in Berezan. Of course, this is just a hypothesis. We need more hard evidence. For context, we should not forget that there were metal workshops in Berezan from the end of the 7th century BC¹²⁷. So why not potters?

Back to the question of how this pottery reached native settlements, and how the local population regarded it. Much attention has been paid to how the Temir-Gora oinochoe found its way into Scythian possession and came to repose in a Scythian grave in the Crimea¹²⁸. One idea¹²⁹ canvassed previously was that since Temir-Gora lay in the path of the seasonal Scythian migration from the Crimea to the Taman Peninsula, the vessel most probably passed into Scythian hands somewhere in the Berezan area, or even in the western Black Sea¹³⁰. The belief that such a Scythian migration took place relies upon the evidence of Herodotus (4. 28)¹³¹. Recent studies demonstrate, however, that even if some kind of migration across the Kerch Strait had taken place in the time of Herodotus, this was not so for the time at which the Temir-Gora oinochoe was made¹³². A new explanation proposed by T.M. Kuznetsova looks plausible and deserves very serious consideration: that the grave containing the oinochoe was most probably that of a Scythian who had returned from the Near Eastern campaign and brought the object with him¹³³. This is more than possible. We know that other Scythians who came back from this campaign brought with them several Near Eastern objects

¹²⁵ TSETSKHLADZE 1998 a, 42-43 (with literature).

¹²⁶ It is known now that the initial settlement in Emporion began to produce pottery soon after the foundation (see CABRERA BONET, SANTOS RETOLAZA 2000, 347-360). For local pottery production in Massalia, Gravisca and elsewhere, see CABRERA BONET, SANTOS RETOLAZA 2000, 101-123.

¹²⁷ DOMANSKIJ, MARCHENKO 2003; TREISTER 1998; SOLOVYOV, TREISTER 2004.

¹²⁸ See VAKHTINA 1991; 2002. KUZNETSOVA 2002 provides a discussion of all existing opinions and an extensive bibliography.

¹²⁹ See VAKHTINA 1991; 2002.

¹³⁰ The discovery of Scythian tombs in the northern Dobrudja gave rise to the opinion that there were two streams of Scythian migration to this region. In one of the burials a fragment of an Ionian vessel was discovered, dated by SIMION (2003 [1992]) to 'after the establishment of Histria' (the date Simion gives for this is 638 BC) = the second half-end of 7th century BC. MELYUKOVA (2001), who studied in detail the grave-goods from these tombs, is convinced that the tombs should be dated to the 6th-beginning of 5th century BC. She disagrees with Simion and with Marchenko and Vakhtina (1997), providing convincing reasons to question that Scythian migration took place at such an early date and casting doubt on the validity of Herodotus' information about 'Old Scythia' or 'Archaic Scythia'. It should be mentioned that, as a rule, scholars studying Scythian and other local sites and objects use the finds of Greek pottery as a means of dating them both, often without paying much attention to how else the local objects might be dated. Moreover, a Greek pot may end up in a local grave long after it was made.

¹³¹ VAKHTINA *et alii* 1980.

¹³² MASLENNIKOV 2001; PAROMOV 2005. See also KAMENETSKII 2001.

¹³³ KUZNETSOVA 2002.

(furniture, ritual vessels and cult objects, armour, ceremonial arms and symbols of power, horse furnishings) which ended up in their tombs in the northern Caucasus¹³⁴.

The Ionian painted tableware discussed in this article has animal decoration: running goats, grazing goats, birds, a griffin, dogs chasing a goat, etc. Could this be why it was valued by locals, especially by Scythians? Again, this is quite possible: Scythian art is well known for its distinctive Animal Style, and Scythians may have valued the pottery for its echoes of their own art and lifestyle¹³⁵. If we seek a market for Ionian-style decorated pottery in Berezan, then the Scythians and other peoples of the steppes come to mind alongside the Greeks living in Brerazan and in the other settlements of the northern Black Sea. The piece in Alekseevka most probably reached there via the Taganrog settlement¹³⁶.

Can the pottery discussed here be interpreted as an indication that Greek colonisation was driven by trade, as is often supposed? (A case of 'trade before the flag'?)¹³⁷ This is a very complex matter, but overall it is better to think of trade as one of the outcomes of colonisation, not one of the reasons for it¹³⁸. All the discussion above demonstrates how difficult it is to interpret this small amount of pottery. If the objects were examples of trade, might we not expect them to be more abundant even at such an early period? And what was traded in return? - something else open to speculation for want of any evidence. Despite this, the first colonists have been characterised as hungry Ionians, particularly Milesians, wandering around the northern Black Sea looking for fish, timber, metals and grain in order to take these commodities back to their homeland to palliate the awful economic situation there caused by conflict with the Lydians¹³⁹. At the same time they had sporadic meetings with locals and set up seasonal trading-posts and contacts, before establishing permanent settlements¹⁴⁰. Some early traders infiltrated local settlements, living in dugout dwellings modified to accord with their way of life and habits, taking local wives, and acquainting themselves with

¹³⁴ See TSETSKHLADZE 1999, 476-477 (with literature).

¹³⁵ TSETSKHLADZE 1998 a, 12-13; POLIDOVICH 1999.

¹³⁶ KOPYLOV 2002; 2004.

¹³⁷ For a recent opinion supporting trade as the motive: 'These finds are important not only as Early Greek Pottery finds but also for the history of colonization of the Black Sea area. So we have a clear horizon of pottery of the third quarter of the 7th century which we did not have from 100 years of excavation, not from Histria - we have no bird kotyle like Trachtemirov, not from Berezan and even not from Taganrog. And as you showed, that ended somewhere in the 6th century. So for me that has the implication that trade must have been the first thing the Greeks wanted there. They traded with the indigenous chiefs from the hinterland. Then, as there were good possibilities for rich agriculture, they changed the intentions. But the original point of movement was trade' (M. Kerschner in the discussion of M. Vakhtina's paper published in COBET *et alii* 2007, 517).

¹³⁸ TSETSKHLADZE 1998 a, 9-10; 2005; KOLB 2004, 594.

¹³⁹ SOLOVYOV 1999, 30; 2004, 334-335.

¹⁴⁰ SOLOVYOV 2004, 334-335.

the local lifestyle¹⁴¹. Others paint the early settlers as 'working class and poor'¹⁴², again wandering the area in their 'attempt to make the so-called Greek miracle reality'¹⁴³. I do not think there is much one can say about these interpretations¹⁴⁴. It is hard to see why Ionians should have come so far to find fish and timber, present in abundance in East Greece and neighbouring areas (including the southern Black Sea - fish; and the eastern Black Sea - timber). The search for metals as an explanation is debased by the ample supply of them in Anatolia¹⁴⁵ - and they definitely cannot be found in the steppelands inhabited by the local population of the northern Black Sea. Again, we know that there was no grain trade between the Scythians and Greeks in the Archaic period¹⁴⁶. What is meant by seasonal trading-stations and occasional contact? Were Greeks journeying to and fro between the northern Black Sea and Ionia at least twice a year? How practical was this? The reasons for colonisation are complex. Previously accepted theories about overpopulation, the search for food, metals, etc. have gradually fallen by the wayside. The Greeks had no shortage of land, food or metals in or close to their homeland¹⁴⁷. When they had to colonise, it was usually in response to some kind of natural disaster, external pressure or threat, or internal conflict, etc.¹⁴⁸. To establish a colony was a major undertaking, not entered upon casually. To borrow a phrase: 'It's murder to found a colony'¹⁴⁹. In other words, '... founding a colony overseas can be as dangerous and as violent as war. ... the Greeks often settled territory occupied by native populations, and Thucydides

¹⁴¹ SOLOVYOV 2004, 335. I fail also to understand the following (the original Russian is opaque): 'Monuments of archaeology and epigraphy contain reliable data about the existence of Greek traders among the local population even at this stage [the early period of colonisation?] of the relationship... The question is: how to extract this data, insofar as the criteria for distinguishing it are insufficiently worked out in classical studies, especially classical archaeology' (SOLOVYOV 2004, 335). Many works have appeared in the West that seek to identify the presence of Greeks in native contexts, locals in Greek colonies, the archaeological evidence of inter-marriage, etc. See, for example, SHEPHERD 1999; HODOS 2006; TSETSKHLADZE 2006 a, XLVIII, all with extensive bibliographies. I have further difficulties with the statement that the Graeco-barbarian relationship was dominated by the 'stereotypical ethnic psychology' of the Greek colonists in the northern Black Sea, because only Greeks possessed the 'foremost cultural potential' (SOLOVYOV 2004, 336). In plain language, this probably means that Greek culture was superior, and the Greeks knew it (a return to an old orthodoxy). (For the latest discussion of our understanding of the problem of identity, see TSETSKHLADZE 2006 a, LI-LVI, LIX-LXII, with bibliography).

¹⁴² PETROPOULOS 2005, 11. For my lengthy review of this very difficult book, see 'Publications on the Black Sea'. *Ancient West & East* 6 (2007), 350-360.

¹⁴³ Petropoulos 2005, 128.

¹⁴⁴ As new studies demonstrate, Ionia was quite a prosperous territory despite Lydian and other pressures (see the papers on Ionia COBET *et alii* 2007).

¹⁴⁵ TSETSKHLADZE, TREISTER 1995, 17-25.

¹⁴⁶ TSETSKHLADZE 1998 c, 54-63 (with literature).

¹⁴⁷ TSETSKHLADZE 2006 a, XXVIII-XXX (with literature); Descoeudres forthcoming.

¹⁴⁸ TSETSKHLADZE 1994, 123-126; 2005; BERNSTEIN 2004; Descoeudres forthcoming.

¹⁴⁹ DOUGHERTY 2003.

shows us how dangerous and violent confrontations with local peoples could be; his account of the founding of Syracuse... mentioned the native Sikels, who had been expelled to make room for the Greeks. Two poets, contemporaries of the archaic colonization movement, also mention confrontations between the Greek colonists and local populations. Mimnermos, in a fragment from the *Nanno*, describes the violence of the settlement of Kolophon and the *hybris* of the colonists... Archilochos also recalls the hostility between Greeks and Thracians when Paros colonized the island of Thasos¹⁵⁰.

Within the Pontic region the earliest East Greek pottery has also been found in the eastern Black Sea, where it allegedly predates the establishment of Greek colonies, considered by many to have been established in the middle of the 6th century¹⁵¹. The main problem we face is that none of the Greek cities has been located, except for Dioscurias (which is known to be partly under the modern city of Sukhumi, thus inaccessible, and partly underwater), let alone undergone archaeological investigation. Excavation of the Simagre local settlement, situated not far from the alleged location of Greek Phasis (modern Poti), has yielded a small a number of fragments of rosette bowls of the beginning-first half of the 6th century BC, a fragment of a Fikellura-style jug of the middle 6th century, a fragment of the neck of an amphora decorated with wide red bands and the foot of a Chian amphora (both first half of the 6th century)¹⁵². Some East Greek pottery made its way into the hinterland along the River Phasis (fragment of a Chian chalice-style bowl in Vani, and a fragment of a rosette bowl not far from Kutaisi, both first half of the 6th century)¹⁵³. Interpreting these in relation to the foundation date of Phasis, in one place O.D. Lordkipanidze rightly notes that '... the discovery of imported Greek wares at such settlements may signal the existence of colonies - but not always: imported wares at barbarian settlements may have also appeared as a result of the activity of temporary trading factories, or even individual visiting merchants. Owing to the want of other data, any conclusions we make regarding Phasis can only be hypothetical'¹⁵⁴, but in another he states that Phasis was established between 600 and 570 BC¹⁵⁵.

A small quantity of pottery of the first half of the 6th century BC, such as fragments of an East Greek oinochoe, rosette bowls, fragment of an amphora with wide red bands, etc., is also known from other native sites in Colchis, such as Batumis Tsikhe, on the outskirts of modern-day Batumi¹⁵⁶, and Eshera (where, in 2003, three pieces of North Ionian LWG of the beginning/first third of the 6th

¹⁵⁰ DOUGHERTY 2003, 187-188.

¹⁵¹ For the Greek colonies and colonisation of Colchis, see TSETSKHLADZE 1998 b, 5-70, with bibliography.

¹⁵² KACHARAVA 1995, 64-65, 68; LORDKIPANIDZE 2000, 60.

¹⁵³ KACHARAVA 1995, 64-65, 68; LORDKIPANIDZE 2000, 60; KERSCHNER 2006 a, 241, Abb. 19.

¹⁵⁴ LORDKIPANIDZE 2000, 59.

¹⁵⁵ LORDKIPANIDZE 2000, 61. Different dates have been proposed for the foundation of Phasis, a Milesian colony: end of the 7th-beginning of the 6th century, first half of the 6th century, second half of the 6th century, middle of the 6th century, end of the 6th century, and end of the 5th-beginning of the 4th century. For a summary, see LORDKIPANIDZE 2000, 61, n. 325.

¹⁵⁶ KACHARAVA 1995, 64-65, 68.

century BC were found), an inland settlement not far from Sukhumi¹⁵⁷. It is very difficult to interpret this pottery in a Colchian context. We cannot have a clear date for the establishment of Greek settlements here until the Greek colonies themselves are located and studied. Vani and Eshera were local settlements considered to have been the residences of the local aristocracy (Vani)¹⁵⁸ or tribal chiefs (Eshera)¹⁵⁹. By the beginning of the 6th century BC some Greek colonies had been founded in the northern and southern Black Sea¹⁶⁰. It is not impossible that these few pieces originated there and thus have nothing to do with the Greek colonies in Colchis itself.

Conclusions

This article has focused on the difficulties of using a small number of early pots as a foundation for constructing grand interpretations of Greek colonial activity and the reasons for it in the northern Black Sea. As I have tried to demonstrate, this has echoes in other areas of Greek expansion. We long considered the Pontic region to be something unique, but the problems we face there are much the same as those encountered in other parts of the ancient world. It is true that there is local diversity within a particular region and throughout the Greek world, but this is balanced by unifying process that we still call colonisation.

To explain why we find early Greek pottery in local settlements, especially in very remote ones, which is the case for the northern Black Sea, proves to be very difficult. First of all, the problems with the reliability and extent of the information about these pieces and the dating of them are obvious. We still do not speak the same language when talking about chronology, classification, etc. It is, of course, much easier to explain the appearance of these pots as a result of a trading relationship. But, as I have tried to demonstrate, there are many other possible explanations. Until we can all agree about many methodological problems, it seems to be rather unwise to change the foundation dates of the first colonies every time the chronology of pottery is revised. Only when we have a firm and recognised system of dating and classifying pottery should we attempt to do this. As I have shown, the pottery examined in this articles fits very reasonably with the archaeological dates for the establishment of the earliest Pontic colonies, at least. Pottery is unique primary data for archaeologists, but like all other types of evidence, it has its limitations.

The term pre-colonial contacts was once used to explain finds in local settlements of small numbers of Greek pots which predated the establishment of the first Greek colonies¹⁶¹. As more evidence gradually comes to light in both

¹⁵⁷ TSETSKHLADZE 2006 c, 106-107.

¹⁵⁸ LORDKIPANIDZE 2000, 60.

¹⁵⁹ TSETSKHLADZE 2006 c, 108, with bibliography.

¹⁶⁰ TSETSKHLADZE 1994, 117-120.

¹⁶¹ See, for example, GRAHAM 1990.

the eastern¹⁶² and western¹⁶³ regions of Greek overseas settlement, this explanation has receded¹⁶⁴.

TABLE 1

Earliest East Greek Tableware from the Settlements of the Local Population

NO.	SITE	REGION	GREEK POTTERY	DATE	BIBLIOGRAPHY
1	Nemirov/ Nemirovskoe	Upper South Bug	About 70 pieces of Archaic East Greek pottery, mainly painted 1. Three fragments of a cup, possibly of Bird-bowl type 2. One fragment previously identified as a fragment of an <i>oinochoe</i> , now believed to be of an <i>amphora</i> 3. Fragment of Milesian (?) cup	 1. Possibly third quarter of 7th century BC 2. According to Kerschner and Schlotzhauer 2005, 17, no. 26 - 650-630 BC, SiA Ib 3. According to Vakhtina 2007, 511 - second half of 7th century BC; according to Kerschner 2006a, 236 - middle-second half of 7th	Onaiko 1966, 56, nos.2, 5-6; Vakhtina 1996; 1998; 2000; 2004a; 2004b; 2007; Kerschner and Schlotzhauer 2005, 17; Kerschner 2006a, 236- 237

¹⁶² See, for example, TSETSKHLADZE 1998 a, 10-15.

¹⁶³ See, for example, RIDGWAY 2000; cf. MOREL 2006, 364.

¹⁶⁴ I would like to express my thanks to Sir John Boardman, Anthony Snodgrass, David Ridgway and John Hind for their comments on this piece.

			<p>4. Vast majority belong to <i>oinochoai</i> (round-mouthed and trefoil) of MWG I-II produced in southern Ionia</p> <p>Pottery of 6th century is not so numerous</p>	<p>century BC South Ionian)</p> <p>4. According to Vakhtina 2007, 513 - 630-600 BC. Some have depictions of a dog chasing a deer reminiscent of depictions of third-beginning of fourth quarter of 7th century BC. According to Kerschner and Schlotzhauer 2005, 17, 25, 33 - Cook's MWG I corresponds to their SiA Ib (650-630 BC), MWG II to their SiA Ic (630-610 BC), and MWG II advanced examples and MWG III to their SiA Id (610-580 BC)</p>	
2	Trakhtemirov/Trakhtemirovskoe	Middle Dnieper	1. Fragment of bird bowl	1. First third of 7th century BC (Kerschner 2006a, 239) or second half of 7th century BC (Daragan 2004b, 133) or similar bird	Onaiko 1966, 56, no. 7; Kovpanenko <i>et alii.</i> 1989, 52; Bessonova 1996, 30-31; Daragan 2004b, 126, Abb. 50.2-4, 133;

				bowls are also dated to middle-second half of 7th century BC (Cook and Dupont 1998, 26)	Vakhtina 2004a, 55-56; 2004b, 209; Kerschner 2006a, 239
			2. Fragment of Samian WG <i>crater</i> (for a possible analogy, see Boardman 1998, 146, fig. 325) or South Ionian <i>oinochoe</i> (Vakhtina 2004b, 209)	2. Last quarter of 7th century BC	
3	Zhabotin/ Zhabotinskoe	Middle Dnieper	Fragment of East Greek vessel	Last quarter of 7th century BC	Onaiko 1966, 56, no. 3; Kovpanenko <i>et alii.</i> 1989, 52; Daragan 2001, 51; 2004a, 213-214; 2004b, 126, Abb. 50.1
4 (?)	Ivane-Puste	Middle Dnieper	Fragments of Chian painted pottery (number not given)	Second half of 7th century BC or end of 7th- first half of 6th century BC	Melyukova 1989, 73; Daragan 2001, 52; 2004a, 214; Vakhtina 2004a, 56
5	Zalesya	Middle Dnieper	Fragments of Chian painted pottery (number not given)	Second half of 7th century BC	Melyukova 1989, 73; Daragan 2004a, 214
6	Motroninskoe	Middle Dnieper	One fragment of Milesian <i>oinochoe</i>	640-600 BC	Bessonova, Skoryi 2001, 83, fig. 54.2
7	Belsk/ Belskoe	Vorskla Basin	1. One fragment of Bird bowl,	1. Middle/last quarter of 7th	Shramko 1987, 125; Kopylov

			North Ionian 2. One fragment of MWG I-II vessel/South Ionian vessel (oinochoe?)	century BC 2. Last quarter of 7th century BC. According to Kerschner and Schlotzhauer 2005, 17, 25, 33 - Cook's MWG I corresponds to their SiA Ib (650-630 BC), MWG II to their SiA Ic (630-610 BC), and MWG II advanced examples and MWG III to their SiA Id (610-580 BC)	2002; Bandurovskii 2001, 15; Daragan 2004b, 133; Vakhtina 2004b, 209
8 (?)	Pozharnaya Balka	Vorskla Basin	One fragment of 'Rhodian-Ionian vessel'	Early Rhodian-Ionian group'	Bandurovskii 2001, 15
9	Alekseevka/ Alekseevskoe	Not far from Greek Gorgippia (modern Anapa)	One fragment of bird bowl	630-590 BC	Kharaldina Novochikhin 1996, 349-350, fig 2; Kerschner 2006a, 242, n. 111

TABLE 2

Scythian Kurgans (Tumuli/Barrows) with Earliest East Greek Pottery
of the 7th Century BC
(adapted from Kopylov 2003, 136)

NO	SITE	REGION	GREEK POTTERY	DATE
1	Burial ground Krasnogorovka III, kurgan 14, grave 5	Lower Don	Samian (1) and Chian (1) transport amphorae	Third quarter-end 7th century BC
2	Kurgan Temir-Gora	Crimea	Milesian painted <i>oinochoe</i>	640-630 BC. According to Kerschner and Schlotzhauer 2005, 17, no. 22 - 650-630 BC (SiA Ib)
3	Burial Boltyshka	Tyasmin Basin	Neck of East Greek <i>oinochoe</i>	650-630 BC (according to Kerschner and Schlotzhauer 2005, 17, no. 23 [SiA Ib]). Date given by Kopylov is end of 7th-first third of 6th century BC. Late 7th century is given by Boardman (1999, 244, fig. 283)
4	Kurgan 1 near the village of Kolomak	Vorskla Basin	Two Chian transport <i>amphorae</i>	Third quarter of 7th century BC

TABLE 3

Relative Chronology of Sicilian Foundations
(after Morris 1996, tabs. 1-3, fig. 1)

SITE	DATES OF EST. PER THUC. (6. 3-5)	CHEVRON SKYPHOI	THAPSOS WARE	EPC (720-680)	MPC (680-650)	LPC (650-610)	EC (610-590)
Naxos	734		•	•	•	•	•
Syracuse	733		•	•	•	•	•
Leontini	729		•	•	•	•	•
Megara Hyblaea	728	•	•	•	•	•	•
Gela	688		•	•	•	•	•
Selinus	628				•	•	•

Key: EPC - Early Protocorinthian; MPC - Middle Protocorinthian; LPC - Late Protocorinthian; EC - Early (Ripe) Corinthian.

TABLE 4

Earliest Ceramics and the Foundation Dates of Some Greek Colonies on Sicily
(Foundation Dates According to Thucydides and Eusebius)
(After Nijboer 2005, 257, table 1)

COLONY	DATE THUCYDIDES	DATE EUSEBIUS	EARLIEST CORINTHIAN POTTERY Settlement	EARLIEST CORINTHIAN POTTERY Sanctuary	EARLIEST CORINTHIAN POTTERY Cemetery
Naxos	734	741/736	LG skyphos		
Syracuse	733	736/734	LG-EPC. Thapsos style: several <i>skyphoi</i>	Some LG + EPC ceramics	EPC <i>aryballoi</i>
Leontini	729		3 fragments LG Thapsos style		
Megara Hyblaea	728		Many fragments of LG ceramics: Thapsos style		
Zancle	After 734	Before 717		LG kotyle fragments	

Mylae (Chersonesus)		717			EPC <i>aryballoi/ kotyle</i>
Taras		706			EPC <i>aryballos</i>
Gela	688	690		Some EPC ceramics	Some EPC and MPC ceramics

Key: LG - Late Geometric; EPC - Early Protocorinthian.

TABLE 5

Greek Fine Wares from the Earliest Settlement Layers of Carthage
so far Excavated (after Nijboer 2005, 260, table 2)

STRATIGRAPHY	DATE	GREEK FINE WARES	DATE
Phase I	ca. 760-740	1 Euboean LG <i>skyphos</i>	ca. 750-715
Layer IIa	ca. 740-725	1 Euboean LG <i>skyphos</i>	ca. 750-715
		1 Cycladic(?) LG open vessel	ca. 750-715
		1 Pithekoussan Aetos 666 <i>kotyle</i>	ca. 750-715
		1 Pithekoussan LG flat bowl or plate	ca. 750-715
		2 Greek open vessels	?
Layer IIb	ca. 725-700	5 sherds of Euboean LG <i>skyphoi</i>	ca. 750-715
		1 Pithekoussan juglet	?

TABLE 6

List of Greek Settlements in the Iberian Peninsula
(after Domínguez 2006b, 484-485)

NAME	TYPE OF SETTLEMENT	PROVENANCE OF COLONISTS/ METROPOLIS	LITERARY DATE OF FOUNDATION	EARLIEST GREEK ARCHAEOLOGICAL MATERIAL	REMARKS
EMPORION	Initially <i>emporion</i> ; later <i>polis</i>	Phocaea (Livy 34.9) Massalia (Strabo 3.4.8; Ps.-Skym. 204-205)	None	End of 7th/ beginning of 6th century BC	The only true Greek <i>polis</i> in Iberia (perhaps from 5th century BC)
RHODE	Initially <i>emporion</i> ; later <i>polis</i>	Emporion (Strabo 3.4.8) Massalia (Ps.-Skym. 205-206) Rhodes (Strabo 3.4.8; 14.2.10)	Before the establishment of the Olympic Games (Strabo 14.2.10)	End of 6th/ beginning of 5th century BC	Perhaps a <i>polis</i> from 4th century BC
HEMERO-SKOPEION	<i>Polichnion</i>	Phocaea (Steph. Byz. s.v.) Massalia (Strabo 3.4.6)	None		Not identified with certainty; the region of Denia-Jávea has been proposed
ALONIS	<i>Polis</i> (Steph. Byz. s.v.) <i>Polichnion</i> ?	Massalia (Steph. Byz. s.v.; Strabo 3.4.6 ?)	None	Some pottery of 6th century BC; more from beginning of 5th century BC	Perhaps one of the three cities cited by Strabo (3.4.6). Santa Pola has been proposed as place of location

UNKNOWN	<i>Polichnion</i>	Massalia (Strabo 3. 4. 6)	None		Nothing is known about its name or localisation
MAINAKE	<i>Polis</i> (Strabo 3. 4. 2; Ps.-Skym. 146-147)	Phocaea (Strabo 3. 4. 2) Massalia (Ps.-Skym. 146-147)	None	On the coast of Málaga, there is much Greek pottery from end of 7th/ beginning of 6th century BC	It would be a polis according to both authors; today (almost) nobody thinks of Mainake as a Greek city
SAGUNTUM	<i>Emporion?</i> <i>Enoikismos?</i>	Zacynthus (Pliny NH 16. 216; Livy 21. 7. 2; Strabo 3. 4. 6; Appian Iber. 7)	200 years before the Trojan War (Pliny NH 16)	Ca. 580 BC	Almost certainly not a Greek city

BIBLIOGRAPHY

ALEKSEEV 2003 - A. Y. Alekseev, *Khronografiya evropeiskoi Skifii VII-IV vekov do n.e.*, St Petersburg, 2003.

ALEKSEEVA 1997 - E. M. Alekseeva, *Antichnyi gorod Gorgippiya*, Moscow, 1997.

ANTONACCIO 2007 - C. M. Antonaccio, *Colonization: Greece on the Move, 900-480*, in H.A. Shapiro (ed.), *The Cambridge Companion to Archaic Greece*, Cambridge, 2007, p. 201-224.

ATTEMA, NIJBOER, ZIFFERERO 2005 - P. Attema, A. Nijboer, A. Zifferero (eds.), *Papers in Italian Archaeology VI: Communities and Settlements from the Neolithic to the Early Medieval Period. Proceedings of the 6th Conference of Italian Archaeology Held at the University of Groningen, Groningen Institute of Archaeology, The Netherlands, April 15-17, 2003*. Vol. 1: *Opening Papers and Themes: Theory and Aims in Italian Archaeology; Archaeology of Communities and Landscape; Burials and Urbanism; Urbanism; Chronology; Domestic Pottery and Food Systems; Technology and Preservation*, Oxford, 2005.

AVRAM 2003 - A. Avram, *Histria*, in D. V. Grammenos and E. K. Petropoulos (eds.), *Ancient Greek Colonies in the Black Sea*, Thessaloniki, 2003, p. 279-340.

AVRAM *et alii* 2004 - A. Avram, J. Hind, G. Tsetskhladze, *The Black Sea Area* in M. H. Hansen, T.H. Nielsen (eds.), *An Inventory of Archaic and Classical Poleis*, Oxford, 2004, p. 924-973.

BANDUROVSKII 2001 - A. V. Bandurovskii, *K voprosu o torgovo-obmennyykh svyazakh lesostepnykh plemen Dnepro-Donetskogo mezhdurech'ya s antichnymi gorodami Severnogo Prichernomor'ya*, in V. Y. Zuev *et alii* (eds.), *Bosporskii Fenomen: kolonizatsiya regiona, formirovanie polisov, obrazovanie gosudarstva*. Materialy mezhdunarodnoi nauchnoi konferentsii, part 2, St Petersburg, 2001, p. 14-16.

BARTOLONI, DELPINO 2005 - G. Bartoloni, F. Delpino (eds.), *Oriente e Occidente: Metodi e Discipline a Confronto. Riflessioni sull'Età del Ferro Italiana*, Pisa/Rome, 2005.

BERNSTEIN 2004 - F. Bernstein, *Konflikt und Migration. Studien zu griechischen Fluchtbewegungen im Zeitalter der sogenannten Großen Kolonisation*, St Katharinen, 2004.

BESSONOVA 1996 - S. S. Bessonova, *Glinyani zhertovniki lisostepovogo Podniprovv'ya*

rann'oski'kogo chasy, *Arkheologiya* 4 (1996), Kiev, p. 25-40.

BESSONOVA, SKORYI 1999 - S. S. Bessonova, S. A. Skoryi, *Motroninskoe gorodishche i kurgannyi mogil'nik. Itogi i perspektivy issledovaniya*, in P. P. Tolochko et alii (eds.), *Problemy skifo-sarmatskoi arkheologii Severnogo Prichernomor'ya (K 100-letiyu B. N. Grakova)*, Zaporozhe, 1999, p. 35-38.

BESSONOVA, SKORYI 2001 - S. S. Bessonova, S. A. Skoryi, *Motroninskoe gorodishche skifskoi epokhi (po materialam raskopok 1988-1996 gg.)*, Kiev/Cracow, 2001.

BOARDMAN 1998 - J. Boardman, *Early Greek Vase Painting, 11th-6th Centuries BC*, London, 1998.

BOARDMAN 1999 - J. Boardman, *The Greeks Overseas: Their Early Colonies and Trade*, 4th ed., London, 1999.

BOARDMAN 2001 - J. Boardman, *The History of Greek Vases. Potters, Painters and Pictures*, London, 2001.

BOARETTO, JULL, GILBOA, SHARON 2005 - E. Boaretto, A. J. T. Jull, A. Gilboa, H. Sharon, *Dating the Iron Age I/II Transition in Israel: First Intercomparison Results*, *Radiocarbon* 47.1, 2005, p. 39-55.

BOUZEK 1990 - J. Bouzek, *Studies of Greek Pottery in the Black Sea Area*, Prague, 1990.

BOUZEK 2005 - J. Bouzek, *East Greek fine pottery in the Black Sea Area: Archaic and Hellenistic: a revision*, in D. Kacharava, M. Faudot, É. Geny (eds.), *Pont-Euxin et Polis. Polis Hellenis et Polis Barbaron*, Besançon, 2005, p. 63-76.

BUJSKIKH 2007 - A. Bujskikh, *The Earliest East Greek Pottery from Olbia Pontica*, in Cobet et alii, 2007, p. 499-510.

CABRERA, ROUILLARD, VERBANCK-PIERARD 2004 - P. Cabrera, P. Rouillard, A. Verbanck-Piérard (eds.), *El vaso griego y sus destinos*, Madrid, 2004.

CABRERA BONET, SANTOS RETOLAZA 2000 - P. Cabrera Bonet, M. Santos Retolaza (eds.), *Ceràmiques jònies d'època arcaica: centres de producció i comercialització al Mediterrani Occidental*, Barcelona, 2000.

COBET, VON GRAEVE, NIEMEIER, ZIMMERMANN 2007 - J. Cobet, V. von Graeve, W.-D. Niemeier, K. Zimmermann (eds.), *Frühes Ionien. Eine Bestandsaufnahme*, Mainz, 2007.

COOK, DUPONT 1998 - R. M. Cook, P. Dupont, *East Greek Pottery*, London/New York, 1998.

DARAGAN 2001 - M. N. Daragan, *O nakhodkakh antichnoi keramiki na Zhabotinskom poselenii*, in S. D. Kryzhitskii et alii (eds.), *Ol'viya ta antichnii svit*, *Materiali naukovykh chitan'*, prisvyachenikh 75-richchyu utvorenniya istoriko-arkheologichnogo zapovidnika "Ol'viya" HAH Ukraini, 19-22 listopada 2001, Kiev, p. 49-52.

DARAGAN 2004 a - M. N. Daragan, *Khronologiya Zhabotinskogo poseleniya*, in V.Y. Zuev et alii, *Bosporskii Fenomen: problemy khronologii i datirovki pamyatnikov*, *Materialy mezhdunarodnoi nauchnoi konferentsii*, part 2, St Petersburg, 2004, p. 211-216.

DARAGAN 2004 b - M. N. Daragan, *Periodisierung und Chronologie der Siedlung Zabotin*, *Eurasia Antiqua* 10 (2004), p. 55-146.

DE ANGELIS 2003 - F. De Angelis, *Equations of Culture: the Meeting of Natives and Greeks in Sicily (ca. 750-450 BC)*, *Ancient West & East* 2.1, p. 19-50.

DESCOEUDRES forthcoming - J.-P. Descoeurdes, *Central Greece on the Eve of the Colonisation Movement*, in G. R. Tsetskhladze (ed.), *Greek Colonisation. An Account of Greek Colonies and other Settlements Overseas*, vol. 2, Leiden/Boston.

DEVRIES, SAMS, VOIGT 2005 - K. DeVries, G. K. Sams, M. M. Voigt, *Gordion re-dating*, in A. Çilingiroglu, G. Derbyshire (eds.): *Anatolian Iron Ages 5* (London), 2005, p. 45-46.

DOMANSKIJ, MARCENKO 2003 - J. V. Domanskij, K. K. Marcenko, *Towards Determining the Chief Function of the Settlement of Borysthene*, in P. G. Bilde, J. M. Højte, V. F. Stolba (eds.), *The Cauldron of Arientes. Studies presented to A.N. Sceglow on the occasion of his 70th birthday*, Aarhus, 2003, p. 29-36.

DOMÍNGUEZ 2006 a - A. J. Domínguez, *Greeks in Sicily*, in TSETSKHLADZE 2006 b, p. 253-357.

DOMÍNGUEZ 2006 b - A. J. Domínguez, *Greeks in the Iberian Peninsula*, in TSETSKHLADZE 2006 b, p. 429-505.

DOUGHERTY 1993 - C. Dougherty, *It's Murder to Found a Colony*, in C. Dougherty, L. Kurke (eds.), *Cultural Poetics in Archaic Greece: Cult, Performance, Politics*, Cambridge, 1993, p. 179-198.

DUPONT, SKARLATIDOU 2002 - P. Dupont, E. Skarlatidou, *Dating the Earliest Greek Settlements on the Black Sea: The Abdera Amphoric Evidence*, in G. Kvirkvelia, D. Kacharava (eds.), *Urbanism in the Black Sea Area in the Archaic and Classical Periods: Polis Hellenis and Polis Barbaron*, Abstracts of Papers of 10th International Symposium, Vani, Tbilisi, 2002, p. 51-52.

DUPONT, SKARLATIDOU 2005 - P. Dupont, E. Skarlatidou, *Les débuts de la colonisation grecque en mer Noire: éléments de chronologie amphorique*, in D. Kacharava, M. Faudot, É. Geny (eds.), *Pont-Euxin et Polis. Polis Hellenis et Polis Barbaron*, Besançon, 2005, p. 77-82.

FIALKO, BOLTRIK 2001 - E. E. Fialko, Y. V. Boltrik, *Arkhaicheskaya raspisnaya oinokhoya iz raskopok Trakhtemirovskogo gorodishcha*, in S. D. Kryzhitskii et alii (eds.), *Ol'viya ta antichnii svit. Materiali naukovykh chitan, prisvyachenikh 75-richchyu utvorenniya istoriko-arkheologichnogo zapovidnika "Ol'viya" HAH Ukraini*, 19-22 listopada 2001, Kiev 2001, p.134-136.

FOXHALL 1998 - L. Foxhall, *Cargoes of the heart's desire: the character of trade in the archaic Mediterranean world*, in N. Fisher, H. van Wees (eds.), *Archaic Greece. New Approaches and New Evidence*, London, 1998, p. 295-309.

GILBOA 2005 - A. Gilboa, *Sea Peoples and Phoenicians along the Southern Phoenician Coast - A Reconciliation: An Interpretation of Sikila (SKL) Material Culture*, Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research 337, 2005, p. 1-32.

GILBOA, SHARON 2000 - A. Gilboa, I. Sharon, *An Archaeological Contribution to the Early Iron Age Chronological Debate: Alternative Chronologies for Phoenicia and Their Effects on the Levant, Cyprus, and Greece*, Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research 322, 2000, p. 1-75.

GONZÁLEZ DE CANALES, SERRANO, LLOMPART 2006 - F. González de Canales, L. Serrano, J. Llompарт, *The Pre-colonial Phoenician Emporium of Huelva ca. 900-770 BC*, Bulletin Antieke Beschaving 81(2006), 25-40.

GRAHAM 1990 - A. J. Graham, *Pre-colonial Contacts: Questions and Problems*, in J.-P. Descoedres (ed.), *Greek Colonies and Native Populations*, Oxford, 1990, p. 45-60.

Gün Isiginda 2007 - Gün Isiginda, *Istanbul'un 8000 yili: Marmaray, Metro, Sultanahmet kazilari*, Istanbul, 2007.

HIND 1999 - J. Hind, *The Dates and Mother Cities of the Black Sea Colonies (Pseudo-Scymnus and the Pontic Contact Zone)*, in O. Lordkipanidzé, P. Lévêque (eds.), *La Mer Noire. Zone de Contacts*, Besançon, 1999, p. 25-34.

HIND 2005 - J. Hind, *Archaic Scythia: neither Scythia nor Archaic? (Herodotus, Hist. 4. 99. 2)*, Ancient West & East 4.1, 2005, p. 141-145.

HODOS 2006 - T. Hodos, *Local Responses to Colonization in the Iron Age Mediterranean*, London/New York, 2006.

ILINA 2004 - Y. I. Ilina, *Rannyaya keramika iz Ol'vii*, in V.Y. Zuev et alii (eds.), *Bosporskii Fenomen: problemy khronologii i datirovki pamyatnikov*, Materialy mezhdunarodnoi nauchnoi konferentsii, part 2, St Petersburg, 2004, p. 75-83.

KACHARAVA 1995 - D. Kacharava, *Greek Imports of Archaic and Classical Times in Colchis*, AA 1(1995), p. 63-73.

KAMENETSKII 2001 - I. S. Kamenetskii, *O skifakh na Kubani*, in I. I. Marchenko et alii (eds.), *Tret'ya kubanskaya arkheologicheskaya konferentsiya*, Tezisy dokladov mezhdunarodnoi

arkheologicheskoi konferentsii, Krasnodar/Anapa, 2001, p. 65-68.

KEALHOFER 2005 - L. Kealhofer (ed.), *The Archaeology of Midas and the Phrygians. Recent Work at Gordion*, Philadelphia, 2005.

KEENAN 2004 - D. J. Keenan, *Radiocarbon Dated from Iron Age Gordion are Confounded*, *Ancient West & East* 3.1, 2004, p. 100-103.

KERSCHNER 2006 a - M. Kerschner, *Zum Beginn und zu den Phasen der griechischen Kolonisation am Schwarzen Meer. Die Evidenz der ostgriechischen Keramik*, *Eurasia Antiqua* 12 (2006), p. 227-250.

KERSCHNER 2006 b - M. Kerschner, *Zur Herkunftsbestimmung archaischer ostgriechischer Keramik: die Funde aus Berezan im Akademischen Kunstmuseum der Universität Bonn und im Robertinum der Universität Halle-Wittenberg*, *Istanbuler Mitteilungen* 56 (2006), p. 129-156.

KERSCHNER, SCHLOTZHAUER 2005 - M. Kerschner, U. Schlotzhauer, *A New Classification System for East Greek Pottery*, *Ancient West & East* 4.1, 2005, p. 1-56.

KHARALDINA, NOVOCHIKHIN 1996 - Z. Y. Kharaldina, A.M. Novochikhin, *Ancient Collections of the Anapa Museum, Ancient Civilizations from Scythia to Siberia* 3.2/3, 1996, p. 347-365.

KOLB 2004 - F. Kolb, *Troy VI: A Trading Center and Commercial City?*, *American Journal of Archaeology* 108 (2004), p. 577-614.

KOPEIKINA 1972 - L. V. Kopeikina, *Raspisnaya rodosko-ioniiskaya oinokhoya iz kurgana Temir-Gora*, *VDI* 1(1972), p. 98-104.

KOPYLOV 1999 - V. P. Kopylov, *Taganrogskoe poselenie v sisteme pannegrecheskikh kolonii Severnogo Prichernomor'ya*, *VDI* 4 (1999), p. 170-176.

KOPYLOV 2002 - V. P. Kopylov, *Nizhnii Don i Bospor Kimmeriiskii v tretei chetverti VII-pervoi treti III v. do n.e.*, in V.Y. Zuev et alii (eds.), *Bosporskii Fenomen: pogrebal'nye pamyatniki i svyatilishcha*, *Materialy mezhdunarodnoi nauchnoi konferentsii*, part 1, St Petersburg, 2002, p. 229-235.

KOPYLOV 2003 - V. P. Kopylov, *Skify Nizhnego Dona i Severo-Vostochnogo Priazov'ya (Voprosy khronologii i voenno-politicheskoi istorii)*, *VDI* 1(2003), p. 131-140.

KOPYLOV 2004 - V. P. Kopylov, *Khronologiya greko-varvarkoi trgovli v Nizne-Donskom ekonomiko-geograficheskom raione (vtoraya polovina VII-pervaya tret' III v. do n.e.)*, in V.Y. Zuev et alii (eds.), *Bosporskii Fenomen: problemy khronologii i datirovki pamyatnikov*, *Materialy mezhdunarodnoi nauchnoi konferentsii*, part 2, St Petersburg, 2004, p. 60-67.

KOSHELENKO, KUZNETSOV 1998 - G. A. Koshelenko, V. D. Kuznetsov, *Greek Colonisation of the Bosporus*, in G.R. Tsetskhladze (ed.), *The Greek Colonisation of the Black Sea Area: Historical Interpretation of Archaeology*, Stuttgart, 1998, p. 248-263.

KOVPANENKO, BESSONOVA, SKORYI 1989 - G. T. Kovpanenko, S. S. Bessonova, S. A. Skoryi, *Pamyatniki skifskoi epokhi Dneprovskogo Lesostepnogo Pravoberezh'ya (Kievo-Cherkasskii region)*, Kiev, 1989.

KRAPIVINA, BUISKIKH 2001 - V. V. Krapivina, A. V. Buiskikh, *Novye nakhodki vostochnogrecheskoi keramiki iz Ol'vii*, in V. Y. Zuev et alii (eds.), *Bosporskii Fenomen: kolonizatsiya regiona, formirovanie polisov, obrazovanie gosudarstva*, *Materialy mezhdunarodnoi nauchnoi konferentsii*, part 2, St Petersburg, 2001, p. 21-29.

KUZNETSOVA 2002 - T. M. Kuznetsova, *Zagadki Temir-Gory*, in V. Y. Zuev et alii (eds.), *Bosporskii Fenomen: pogrebal'nye pamyatniki i svyatilishcha*, part 2, St Petersburg, 2002, p. 79-90.

KVIRKVELJA 2002 - G. Kvirkvelja, *Greek Trade with Indigenous Societies of the Northern and Eastern Black Sea Areas*, in M. Faudot, A. Frayssé, É. Geny (eds.), *Pont-Euxin et Commerce. La genèse de la "Route de la Soie"*, Besançon, 2002, p. 177-184.

LARENOK, DALLY 2002 - P. A. Larenok, O. Dally, *Taganrog. Eine frühgriechische Siedlung an den Gestaden des Asowschen Meeres*, in J. Fornasier, B. Böttger (eds.), *Das Bosporanische Reich*, Mainz, 2002, p. 86-91.

LORDKIPANIDZE 2000 - O. D. Lordkipanidze, *Phasis: the River and City in Colchis*, Stuttgart, 2000.

LUNGU 2000-2001 - V. Lungu, *La Tombe d'un EROS l'Organisation de la Nécropole d'une Cité Mil'ésienne du Pont Euxin: le Tumulus T-A95 d'Orgamé*, in G. R. Tsetschladez, de Boer, J.G. (eds.), *The Black Sea Region in the Greek, Roman, and Byzantine Periods (Talanta XXXII-XXXIII)*, Amsterdam (2002), p. 171-188.

LUNGU 2002 - V. Lungu, *Hero Cult and Greek Colonization in the Black Sea Area*, RÉSEE 40 (2002), 1-4, p. 3-17.

LUNGU 2003 - V. Lungu, *On the Etymology of Orgame, a Greek Colony on the West Black Sea*, RÉSEE 41(2003), 1-4, p. 103-109.

MALKIN 2002 - I. Malkin, *Exploring the Concept of "Foundation": A Visit to Megara Hyblaia*, in V. B. Gorman, E. W. Robinson (eds.), *Oikistes. Studies in Constitution, Colonies, and Military Power in the Ancient World. Offered in Honor of A. J. Graham*, Leiden/Boston/Cologne, 2002, p. 195-228.

MĂNUCU-ADAMEȘTEANU 2000 - M. Mănuclu-Adameșteanu, *Céramique archaïque d'Orgamé*, in A. Avram, M. Babeș (eds.), *Civilisation grecque et cultures antiques périphériques. Hommage à Petre Alexandrescu à son 70e anniversaire*, Bucharest, 2000, p. 195-204.

MĂNUCU-ADAMEȘTEANU 2003 - M. Mănuclu-Adameșteanu, *Orgame*, in D. V. Grammenos, E. K. Petropoulos (eds.), *Ancient Greek Colonies in the Black Sea*, Thessaloniki, 2003, p. 341-388.

MARCHENKO, VAKHTINA 1997 - K. K. Marchenko, M. Y. Vakhtina, "Drevnyaya Skifiya" i Severo Zapadnoe Prichernomor'e, *Hyperboreus* 3.1, 1997, p. 179-185.

MARCONI 2004 - C. Marconi (ed.), *Greek Vases: Images, Contexts and Controversies. Proceedings of the Conference sponsored by The Center for the Ancient Mediterranean at Columbia University, 23-24 March 2002*, Leiden/Boston, 2004.

MASLENNIKOV 2001 - A. A. Maslennikov, *Varvary, greki i Bospor Kimmeriiskii do Gerodota i pri nem*, *Drevnosti Bospora* 4 (2001), p. 291-323.

MELYUKOVA 1989 - A. I. Melyukova (ed.), *Stepi evropeiskoi chasti SSSR v skifo-sarmatskoe vremya*, Moscow, 1989.

MELYUKOVA 2001 - A. I. Melyukova, *Novye dannye o Skifakh v Dobrudzhe (K voprosu o "Staroi Skifii" Gerodota)*, *Rossiskaya Arkheologiya* 4 (2001), p. 20-32.

MOMMSEN, KERSCHNERAND, POSAMENTIR 2006 - H. Mommsen, M. Kerschner, R. Posamentir, *Provenance determination of 111 pottery samples from Berezan by neutron activation analysis*, *Istanbul Mitteilungen* 56 (2006), p. 157-168.

MOREL 2006 - J.-P. Morel, *Phocaeen Colonisation*, in TSETSKHLADZE 2006 b, p. 358-428.

MORRIS 1996 - I. Morris, *The Absolute Chronology of the Greek Colonies in Sicily*, *Acta Archaeologica* 67 (1996), p. 51-59.

MUSCARELLA 2003 - O. W. Muscarella, *The Date of the Destruction of the Early Phrygian Period at Gordion*, *Ancient West & East* 2.2, 2003, p. 225-252.

NEDEV, PANAYOTOVA 2003 - D. Nedev, K. Panayotova, *Apollonia Pontica*, in D. V. Grammenos, E. K. Petropoulos (eds.), *Ancient Greek Colonies in the Black Sea*, Thessaloniki, 2003, p. 95-156.

NIEMEYER, DOCTER, SCHMIDT, BECHTOLD 2007 - H. G. Niemeyer, R. F. Docter, K. Schmidt, B. Bechtold, *Karthago. Die Ergebnisse der hamburger Grabung unter dem Decumanus Maximus*, 2 vols., Mainz, 2007.

NIJBOER 2005 - A. J. Nijboer, *The Iron Age in the Mediterranean: A Chronological Mess or "Trade before the Flag"*, Part II, *Ancient West & East* 4.2, 2005, p. 255-277.

NIJBOER, VAN DER PLICHT 2006 - A. J. Nijboer, J. van der Plicht, *An interpretation of the radiocarbon determinations of the oldest indigenous-Phoenician stratum thus far, excavated at Huelva, Tartessos (south-west Spain)*, *Bulletin Antieke Beschaving* 81 (2006), p. 41-46.

ONAIKO 1966 - N. A. Onaiko, *Antichnyi import v Pridneprov'e i Pobuzh'e v VII-V vekakh do n.e.*, Moscow, 1966.

PAROMOV 2005 - Y. M. Paromov, *Ledovye pokhody skifov*, in V. Y. Zuev et alii (eds.), *Bosporskii Fenomen: problema sootnosheniya pis'mennykh i arkheologicheskikh istochnikov*, Materialy kezdunarodnoi nauchnoi konferentsii, St Petersburg, 2005, p. 247-250.

PETROPOULOS 2005 - E. K. Petropoulos, *Hellenic Colonization in Euxinos Pontos. Penetration, early establishment, and the problem of the emporion revisited*, Oxford, 2005.

POLIDOVICH 1999 - Y. B. Polidovich, *Antichnye sosudy v kontekste ranneskifskogo pogrebal'nogo obryada*, in P. P. Tolochko et alii (eds.), *Problemy skifo-sarmatskoi arkheologii Severnogo Prichernomor'ya (K 100-letiyu B.N. Grakova)*, Zaporozhe, 1999, p. 206-209.

POSAMENTIR 2006 - R. Posamentir, *The Greeks in Berezan and Naukratis: A Similar Story?*, in A. Villing, U. Schlotzhauer (eds.), *Naukratis: Greek Diversity in Egypt*, London, 2006, p. 159-167.

POSAMENTIR, SOLOVYOV 2006 - R. Posamentir, S. Solovyov, *Zur Herkunftsbestimmung archaisch-ostgriechischer Keramik: die Funde aus Berezan in der Ermitage von St. Petersburg*, *IstMitt* 56 (2006), p. 103-128.

RATHJE, NIELSENAND, RASMUSSEN 2002 - A. Rathje, M. Nielsen, B. B. Rasmussen (eds.), *Pots for the Living, Pots for the Dead*, Copenhagen, 2002.

RIDGWAY 2000 - D. Ridgway, *The First Western Greeks Revisited*, in Ridgway, D., Serra Ridgway, F.R., Pearce, M., Herring, E., Whitehouse, R.D. and Wilkins, J.B. (eds.), *Ancient Italy in its Mediterranean Setting. Studies in honour of Ellen Macnamara*, London, 179-192.

RÜCKERT, KOLB 2003 - B. Rückert, F. Kolb (eds.), *Probleme der Keramikchronologie des südlichen und westlichen Kleinasien in geometrischer und archaischer Zeit*, Bonn, 2003.

RUSYAEVA 1998 - A. S. Rusaeva, *K voprosu ob osnovanii ionitsami Ol'vii*, *VDI* 1 (1998), p. 160-170.

RUSYAEVA 1999 - A. S. Rusaeva, *Proniknovenie Ellinov na territoriyu Ukrainskoi lesostepi v arkhaischeskoe vremya (K postanovke problemy)*, *VDI* 4 (1999), p. 84-97.

SALOV 1986 - A. I. Salov, *Arkhaischeskoe poselenie na okraïne Anapy*, in G.A. Koshelenko (ed.), *Problemy antichnoi kul'tury*, Moscow, 1986, p. 188-195.

SCHEFFER 2001 - C. Scheffer (ed.), *Ceramics in Context. Proceedings of the Internordic Colloquium on Ancient Pottery held at Stockholm, 13-15 June 1997*, Stockholm, 2001.

SCHMALTZ, SÖLDNER 2003 - B. Schmaltz, M. Söldner (eds.), *Griechische Keramik im kulturellen Kontext. Akten des Internationalen Vasen-Symposions in Kiel vom 24. bis 28.9.2001 veranstaltet durch das Archäologische Institut der Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel*, Münster, 2003.

SHEPHERD 1999 - G. Shepherd, *Fibulae and Females: Inter-marriage in the Western Greek Colonies and the Evidence from the Cemeteries*, in G. R. Tsetskhladze (ed.), *Ancient Greeks West and East*, Leiden/Boston/Cologne, 1999, p. 267-300.

SHRAMKO 1987 - B. A. Shramko, *Bel'skoe gorodishche skifskoi epokhi (gorod Gelon)*, Kiev, 1987.

SIMION 2003 (1992) - G. Simion, *Geții de la Dunărea de Jos și civilizația lor*, în G. Simion, *Culturi antice în zona gurilor Dunării*, vol. 1, Cluj-Napoca, p. 161-174 (first published in 1992).

SMIRNOVA 1996 - G. I. Smirnova, *Nemirovskoe gorodishche-obshchaya kharakteristika pamyatnika VIII-VI vv. do n.e.*, *Arkheologiya*, Kiev, 4 (1996), p. 67-84.

SMIRNOVA 1998 - G. I. Smirnova, *Skofskoe poselenie na Nemirovskom gorodishche: obshchie dannye o pamyatnike*, *Materialy po Arkheologii, Istorii i Etnografii Tavrii VI*, p. 77-121.

SMIRNOVA 1999 - G. I. Smirnova, *Eshche raz o seroi kruzhal'noi keramike iz ranneskifskikh pamyatnikov Srednego Podnestrov'ya*, *Arkheologicheskii Sbornik Gosudarstvennogo Ermitazha* 34 (1999), p. 44-57.

SMIRNOVA 2001 - G. I. Smirnova, *Gal'shtatskii komponent v ranneskifskoi kul'ture Lesostepi Severnogo Prichernomor'ya (Po materialam Nemirovskogo gorodishcha)*, *Rossiskaya*

Arkheologiya 4 (2001), p. 33-44.

SOLOVYOV 1999 - S. L. Solovyov, *Ancient Berezan. The Architecture, History and Culture of the First Greek Colony in the Northern Black Sea*, Leiden/Boston/Cologne, 1999.

SOLOVYOV 2004 - S. L. Solovyov, *Greki i varvary Severnogo Prichernomor'ya: tipy kontaktov*, in Zinko, V.N. (ed.), *Bospor Kimmeriiskii i varvarskii mir v period antichnosti i srednevekov'ya. Etnicheskie protsessy. V Bosporskie chteniya*, Kerch, 333-337.

SOLOVYOV, TREISTER 2004 - S. L. Solovyov, M. Y. Treister, *Bronze Punches from Berezan*, *Ancient West & East* 3.2, 2004, p. 365-375.

TREISTER 1998 - M. Y. Treister, *Ionia and the North Pontic Area. Archaic Metalworking: Tradition and Innovation*, in G. R. Tsetsckhladze (ed.), *The Greek Colonisation of the Black Sea Area: Historical Interpretation of Archaeology*, Stuttgart, 1998, p. 179-199.

TSETSKHLADZE 1994 - G. R. Tsetsckhladze, *Greek Penetration of the Black Sea*, in G. R. Tsetsckhladze, F. De Angelis (eds.), *The Archaeology of Greek Colonisation*, Oxford, 1994, p. 111-135.

TSETSKHLADZE 1997 - G. R. Tsetsckhladze, *A Survey of the Major Urban Settlements in the Kimmerian Bosphoros (with a Discussion of their Status as Poleis)*, in T. H. Nielsen (ed.), *Yet More Studies in the Ancient Greek Polis*, Stuttgart, 1997, p. 39-81.

TSETSKHLADZE 1998 a - G. R. Tsetsckhladze, *Greek Colonisation of the Black Sea Area: Stages, Models, Native Populations*, in G. R. Tsetsckhladze (ed.), *The Greek Colonisation of the Black Sea Area: Historical Interpretation of Archaeology*, Stuttgart, 1998, p. 9-68.

TSETSKHLADZE 1998 b - G. R. Tsetsckhladze, *Die Griechen in der Kolchis (historisch-archäologischer Abriss)*, Amsterdam.

TSETSKHLADZE 1998 c - G. R. Tsetsckhladze, *Trade on the Black Sea in the Archaic and Classical Periods: Some Observations*, in H. Parkins, C. Smith (eds.), *Trade, Traders and the Ancient City*, London/New York, 1998, p. 52-75.

TSETSKHLADZE 1999 - G. R. Tsetsckhladze, *Between West and East: Anatolian Roots of Local Cultures of the Pontus*, in G. R. Tsetsckhladze (ed.), *Ancient Greeks West and East*, Leiden/Boston/Cologne, 1999, p. 469-496.

TSETSKHLADZE 2000 - G. R. Tsetsckhladze, *Pistiros in the System of Pontic Emporia (Greek Trading and Craft Settlements in the Hinterland of the Northern and Eastern Black Sea and Elsewhere)*, in L. Domaradzka, et alii (eds.), *Structures économiques dans la péninsule balkanique VIIe-IIe siècle avant J.-C.*, Opole, 2000, p. 235-246.

TSETSKHLADZE 2002 - G. R. Tsetsckhladze, *Ionians Abroad*, in G.R. Tsetsckhladze, A. M. Snodgrass (eds.), *Greek Settlements in the Eastern Mediterranean and the Black Sea*, Oxford, 2002, p. 81-96.

TSETSKHLADZE 2003 - G. R. Tsetsckhladze, *Greeks beyond the Bosphorus*, in V. Karageorghis (ed.), *The Greeks beyond the Aegean: from Marseilles to Bactria*, New York, 2003, p. 129-166.

TSETSKHLADZE 2005 - G. R. Tsetsckhladze, *Pontic Notes (without Notes)*, in J. Bouzek, L. Domaradzka (eds.), *The Culture of Thracians and their Neighbours. Proceedings of the International Symposium in Memory of Prof. Mieczyslaw Domaradzki, with a Round Table "Archaeological Map of Bulgaria"*, Oxford, 2005, p. 229-230.

TSETSKHLADZE 2006 a - G. R. Tsetsckhladze, *Revisiting Ancient Greek Colonisation*, in TSETSKHLADZE 2006 b, XXIII-LXXXIII.

TSETSKHLADZE 2006 b - G. R. Tsetsckhladze (ed.), *Greek Colonisation. An Account of Greek Colonies and other Settlements Overseas*, vol. 1, Leiden/Boston, 2006.

TSETSKHLADZE 2006 c - G. R. Tsetsckhladze, *More Finds of Early Greek Pottery in the Pontic Hinterland*, in E. Herring, I. Lemos, F. Lo Schiavo, L. Vagnetti, R. Whitehouse, J. Wilkins (eds.) 2006: *Across Frontiers: Etruscans, Greeks, Phoenicians and Cypriots. Studies in Honour of David Ridgway and Francesca Romana Serra Ridgway*, London, p. 103-109.

TSETSKHLADZE 2007 - G. R. Tsetsckhladze, *Greeks and Locals in the Southern Black Sea Littoral: A Re-Examination*, in G. Herman, I. Shatzman (eds.), *Greeks between East and West*.

Essays in Greek Literature and History in Memory of David Asheri, Jerusalem, 2007, p. 160-195.

TSETSKHLADZE forthcoming - G. R. Tsetskhladze, *Greeks in the Black Sea*, in G.R. Tsetskhladze (ed.), *Greek Colonisation. An Account of Greek Colonies and other Settlements Overseas*, vol. 2, Leiden/Boston.

TSETSKHLADZE, TREISTER 1995 - G. R. Tsetskhladze, M.Y. Treister, *The Metallurgy and Production of Precious Metals in Colchis Before and After the Arrival of the Ionians (Towards the problem of the reason for Greek colonisation)*, *Bulletin of the Metals Museum* 24 (1995), p. 1-32.

VAKHTINA 1991 - M. Y. Vakhtina, "Skifskii put" v Prikuban'e i nekotorye drevnosti Kryma v epokhu arkhaiiki, in E. A. Molev (ed.), *Voprosy istorii i arkheologii Bospora*, Belgorod/Voronezh, 1991, p. 2-9.

VAKHTINA 1996 - M. Y. Vakhtina, *Grecheskaya raspisnaya keramika iz raskopok Nemirovskogo gorodishcha*, *Arkheologiya*, Kiev, 4 (1996), p. 85-93.

VAKHTINA 1998 - M. Y. Vakhtina, *Osnovnye kategorii grecheskoi importnoi keramiki Nemirovskogo gorodishcha, Materialy po Arkheologii, Istorii i Etnografii Tavrii VI*, p. 122-139.

VAKHTINA 2000 - M. Y. Vakhtina, *Grecheskaya stolovaya keramika VI v. do n.e. iz raskopok Nemirovskogo gorodishcha v Pobuzh'e*, in V.Y. Zuev (ed.), *SUSSITIA*, St Petersburg, 2000, p. 209-217.

VAKHTINA 2002 - M. Y. Vakhtina, *Eshche raz ob osnovnom pogrebenii v kurgane Temir-Gora i nekotorykh voprosakh grecheskoi kolonizatsii Kimmeriiskogo Bospora*, in V. N. Zinko (ed.), *Bospor Kimmeriiskii: Pont i varvarskii mir v period antichnosti i srednevekov'ya*, III Bosporskie chteniya, Kerch, 2002, p. 31-35.

VAKHTINA 2004 a - M. Y. Vakhtina, *Grecheskaya arkhaiskaya stolovaya keramika v varvarskikh pamyatnikakh Severnogo Prichernomor'ya: vremya i puti raspostraneniya*, in V.N. Zinko (ed.), *Bospor Kimmeriiskii i varvarskii mir v period antichnosti i srednevekov'ya. Etnicheskie protsessy*, V Bosporskie chteniya, Kerch, 2004, p. 54-58.

VAKHTINA 2004 b - M. Y. Vakhtina, *O nachale raspostraneniya yuzhno-ioniiskogo keramicheskogo importa v varvarskom mire Severnogo Prichernomor'ya*, in V. Y. Zuev et alii, *Bosporskii Fenomen: problemy khronologii i datirovki pamyatnikov*, *Materialy mezhdunarodnoi nauchnoi konferentsii*, part 2, St Petersburg, 2004, p. 204-211.

VAKHTINA 2007 - M. Ju. Vakhtina, *Archaic East Greek Pottery from Nemirovo (Preliminary Survey)*, in Cobet et alii, 2007, p. 511-518.

VAKHTINA, VINOGRADOV, ROGOV 1980 - M. Y. Vakhtina, Y. A. Vinogradov, E. Y. Rogov, *Ob odnom iz marshrutov voennykh pokhodov i sezonnykh migratsii kochevykh skifov*, *VDI 4* (1980), p. 155-161.

VAN WEES 1998 - H. van Wees, *The Laws of gratitude: reciprocity in anthropological theory*, in C. Gill, N. Postlethwaite, R. Seaford (eds.), *Reciprocity in Ancient Greece*, Oxford, 1998, p. 13-49.

VANSCHOONWINKEL 2006 a - J. Vanschoonwinkel, *Mycenaean Expansion*, in TSETSKHLADZE 2006 b, p. 41-113.

VANSCHOONWINKEL 2006 b - J. Vanschoonwinkel, *Greek Migration to Aegean Anatolia in the Early Dark Age*, in TSETSKHLADZE 2006 b, p. 115-141.

WAGNER-HASEL 2006 - B. Wagner-Hasel, *Gift Exchange: Modern Theories and Ancient Attitudes*, in S. Deger-Jalkotzy and I. S. Lemos (eds.), *Ancient Greece: From the Mycenaean Palaces to the Age of Homer*, Edinburgh, 2006, p. 257-269.

YNTEMA 2000 - D. Yntema, *Mental Landscapes of Colonization. The Ancient Written Sources and the Archaeology of Early Colonial-Greek Southeastern Italy*, *Bulletin Antieke Beschaving* 75 (2000), p. 1-49.

ZUEV 1993 - V. Y. Zuev, *Izuchenie zhabotinskikh gravirovok i problema razvitiya zverinogo stilya v evropeiskoi Skifii na rubezhe VII-VI vv. do R.Khr.*, *Peterburgskii Arkheologicheskii Vestnik* 6 (1993), p. 38-52.